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## A simple example

- A perfect circle
- A noiseless point cloud sample from the circle
- A point cloud sample with noise
- A point cloud sample with noise and outliers


## Another example



## Robust Structural Inference

- Kernel distance, kernel density estimate
- Distance to a measure


## Structural Inference using KDE

## Geometric inference

Given:

- An unknown object (e.g. a compact set) $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$
- A finite point cloud $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that comes from $S$ under some process
Aim: Recover topological and geometric properties of $S$ from $P$, e.g. \# of components, dimension, curvature...
e.g. preserve homeomorphism, homotopy type, or homology of $S$ from $P$.
e.g. homotopy equivalence: two spaces can be deformed continuously into one another.



## Distance function based geometric inference

Sample points $P$ from a triangle $S$ with noise; Reconstructs an approximation of $S$ by offsets from $P$ (i.e. union of balls).

[Chazal, Cohen-Steiner, Lieutier 2009]
Distance function: $f_{P}(x)=\inf _{y \in P}\|x-y\|$ Offset: $(P)^{r}=f_{P}^{-1}([0, r])$

Hausdorff distance (measures sampling quality):
$d_{H}(S, P):=\left\|f_{S}-f_{P}\right\|_{\infty}=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|f_{S}(x)-f_{P}(x)\right| \leq \epsilon$
i.e. smallest $\epsilon \geq 0$ s.t. $S \subseteq(P)^{\epsilon}$ and $P \subseteq(S)^{\epsilon}$.

## Distance function based geometric inference

Sample points $P$ from a figure-eight $S$ with noise; Reconstructs an approximation of $S$ by offsets from $P$ (i.e. union of balls).

[Image courtesy: Paul Bruillard]
Distance function: $f_{P}(x)=\inf _{y \in P}\|x-y\|$
Offset: $(P)^{r}=f_{P}^{-1}([0, r])$
Hausdorff distance (measures sampling quality):
$d_{H}(S, P):=\left\|f_{S}-f_{P}\right\|_{\infty}=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|f_{S}(x)-f_{P}(x)\right| \leq \epsilon$
i.e. smallest $\epsilon \geq 0$ s.t. $S \subseteq(P)^{\epsilon}$ and $P \subseteq(S)^{\epsilon}$.

## Distance function based geometric inference: the intuition

[Hausdorff stability w.r.t. distance functions]
If $d_{H}(S, P)$ is small, thus $f_{S}$ and $f_{P}$ are close, and subsequently, $S$, $(S)^{r}$ and $(P)^{r}$ carry the same topology for an appropriate scale $r$.

## Theorem (Reconstruction from $f_{P}$ )

Let $S, P \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be compact sets such that $\operatorname{reach}(S)>R$ and $\varepsilon:=d_{H}(S, P) \leq R / 17$. Then $(S)^{\eta}$ and $(P)^{r}$ are homotopy equivalent for sufficiently small $\eta$ (e.g. $0<\eta<R$ ), if
$4 \varepsilon \leq r \leq R-3 \varepsilon$. [Chazal Cohen-Steiner Lieutier 2009] [Chazal Cohen-Steiner Merigot 2011]
$R$ ensures topological properties of $S$ and $(S)^{r}$ are the same; $\varepsilon$ ensures $(S)^{r}$ and $(P)^{r}$ are close, $\varepsilon \approx$ density of the sample.


Not robust to outliers.

[Chazal Cohen-Steiner Merigot 2011]
If $S^{\prime}=S \cup x$ and $f_{S}(x)>R$, then $\left|f_{S}-f_{S^{\prime}}\right|_{\infty}>R$ : offset-based inference methods fail...

## Distance(-like) function that is robust to noise...

Desirable properties for $g$ to be useful in geometric inference:
(D1) $g$ is 1-Lipschitz: for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d},|g(x)-g(y)| \leq\|x-y\|$.
(D2) $g^{2}$ is 1 -semiconcave: $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \mapsto(g(x))^{2}-\|x\|^{2}$ is concave.
(D3) $g$ is proper: $g(x)$ tends the infimum of its domain (e.g., $\infty$ ) as $x$ tends to infinity.
(D1) ensures that $f_{S}$ is differentiable almost everywhere and the medial axis of $S$ has zero $d$-volume;
(D2) is crucial, e.g. in proving the existence of the flow of the gradient of the distance function for topological inference.

## Kernels

A kernel is a similarity measure, more similar points have higher value,

$$
K: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

We focus on the Gaussian kernel (positive definite):

$$
K(p, x)=\sigma^{2} \exp \left(-\|p-x\|^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}\right)
$$



## Kernel density estimate (KDE)

A kernel density estimate represents a continuous distribution function over $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ for point set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ :

$$
\operatorname{KDE}_{P}(x)=\frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{p \in P} K(p, x)
$$



More generally, it can be applied to any measure $\mu$ (on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ ) as

$$
\operatorname{KDE}_{\mu}(x)=\int_{p \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} K(p, x) \mu(p) \mathrm{d} p
$$

## Kernel distance

For two point sets $P$ and $Q$, define similarity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \kappa(P, Q)=\frac{1}{|P|} \frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{q \in Q} K(p, q) \\
& \text { If } Q=\{x\}, \kappa(P, x)=\operatorname{KDE}_{P}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The kernel distance (a metric between $P$ and $Q$ ):

$$
D_{K}(P, Q)=\sqrt{\kappa(P, P)+\kappa(Q, Q)-2 \kappa(P, Q)}
$$

Self similarity minus cross similarity... [Philifss, Venkatasubramanian 2011]
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## Kernel distance (w.r.t. any measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ )

For $D_{K}(\mu, \nu)$ between two measures $\mu$ and $\nu$, define similarity

$$
\kappa(\mu, \nu)=\int_{p \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{q \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} K(p, q) \mu(p) \mu(q) \mathrm{d} p \mathrm{~d} q
$$

The kernel distance (a metric between $\mu$ and $\nu$ ):

$$
D_{K}(\mu, \nu)=\sqrt{\kappa(\mu, \mu)+\kappa(\nu, \nu)-2 \kappa(\mu, \nu)}
$$

If $\nu=$ unit Dirac mass at $x, \kappa(\mu, x)=\operatorname{KDE}_{\mu}(x)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{K}(\mu, x) & =\sqrt{\kappa(\mu, \mu)+\kappa(x, x)-2 \kappa(\mu, x)} \\
& =\sqrt{c_{\mu}-2 \operatorname{KDE}_{\mu}(x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Kernel distance (current distance or maximum mean discrepancy) is a metric, if the kernel $K$ is characteristic (a slight restriction of being positive definite, e.g. Gaussian and Laplace kernels).

## Take home message

- Geometric inference from a point cloud can be calculated by examining its kernel density estimate (KDE) of Gaussians.
- Such an inference is made possible with provable properties through the vehicle of kernel distance.
- Such an inference is robust to noise and scalable.
- We provide an algorithm to estimate the topology of kernel distance using weighted Vietoris-Rips complexes.


## A bit more detail...

Geometric inference using the kernel distance, in place of the distance to a measure [Chazal Cohen-Steiner Merigot 2011].

1. [Robustness] Kernel distance is distance-like: 1-Lipschitz, 1 -semiconcave, proper and stable.
2. [Scalability] Kernel distance has a small coreset, making efficient inference possible on 100 million points.
3. [Relation to KDE] Geometric inference based on kernel distance works naturally via superlevel sets of KDE: sublevel sets of the kernel distance are superlevel sets of KDE.
4. [Algorithm] to approximate the sublevel set filtration of kernel distance from a point cloud sample.

## Why kernel distance?

- People love and are familiar with KDE, especially with Gaussian kernel
- Kernel distance provides a proper way to relate KDE with properties that are crucial for geometric inference
- We could approximate the topology of kernel distance via point cloud samples


## Experiments

An example with $25 \%$ of $P$ as noise, $\sigma=0.05$

## Experiments

An example with $25 \%$ of $P$ as noise, $\sigma=0.003$

## Experiments

An example with $25 \%$ of $P$ as noise, $\sigma=0.001$

## Kernel Distance is Distance-Like

Similar properties hold for the kernel distance defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\mu}^{K}(x)=D_{K}(\mu, x) & =\sqrt{\kappa(\mu, \mu)+\kappa(x, x)-2 \kappa(\mu, x)} \\
& =\sqrt{c_{\mu}^{2}-2 \operatorname{KDE}_{\mu}(x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the point cloud setting,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{P}^{K}(x)=D_{K}(P, x) & =\sqrt{\kappa(P, P)+\kappa(x, x)-2 \kappa(P, x)} \\
& =\sqrt{c_{P}^{2}-2 \operatorname{KDE}_{P}(x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Specifically, the following properties of $d_{\mu}^{K}$ allow it to inherit the reconstruction properties of $d_{\mu, m_{0}}^{\mathrm{CCM}}$.
(K1) $d_{\mu}^{K}$ is 1 -Lipschitz on its input.
(K2) $\left(d_{\mu}^{K}\right)^{2}$ is 1 -semiconvave: the map $x \mapsto\left(d_{\mu}^{K}(x)\right)^{2}-\|x\|^{2}$ is concave.
(K3) $d_{\mu}^{K}$ is proper.
(K4) [Stability] $\left\|d_{\mu}^{K}-d_{\nu}^{K}\right\|_{\infty} \leq D_{K}(\mu, \nu)$.

## Advantages of the kernel distance summary

(I) Small coreset representation for sparse representation and efficient, scalable computation.
(II) Its inference is easily interpretable and computable through the superlevel sets of a KDE.

## Small coreset

- There exists a small $\epsilon$-coreset $Q \subset P$ s.t. $\left\|d_{P}^{K}-d_{Q}^{K}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon$ and $\left\|\operatorname{KDE}_{P}-\mathrm{KDE}_{Q}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon$ with probability at least $1-\delta$.
- Size $O\left(((1 / \varepsilon) \sqrt{\log (1 / \varepsilon \delta)})^{2 d /(d+2)}\right)$ [Phililips 2013].
- The same holds under a random sample of size $O\left(\left(1 / \varepsilon^{2}\right)(d+\log (1 / \delta))\right)$ [Joshi Kommaraju Phillips 2011].
- Operate with $|P|=100,000,000[$ ZZeng Jestes Phililips Li 2013].
- Stability of persistence diagram is preserved: $d_{B}\left(\operatorname{Dgm}\left(\operatorname{KDE}_{P}\right), \operatorname{Dgm}\left(\operatorname{KDE}_{Q}\right)\right) \leq \varepsilon$.
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## Geometric inference with KDE

Recall $d_{P}^{K}(x)=\sqrt{c_{P}^{2}-2 \operatorname{KDE}_{P}(x)}$ where $c_{P}^{2}$ is a constant that depends only on $P$. Perform geometric inference on noisy $P$ by considering the super-level sets of $\operatorname{KDE}_{P}$,

$$
\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \mid \operatorname{KDE}_{P}(x) \geq \tau\right\}
$$

Key:

- $d_{P}^{K}(\cdot)$ is monotonic with $\operatorname{KDE}_{P}(\cdot)$; as $d_{P}^{K}(x)$ gets smaller, $\operatorname{KDE}_{P}(x)$ gets larger.
- A clean and natural interpretation of the reconstruction problem through the well-studied lens of KDE. Geometric inference with sublevel sets of $d_{P}^{K}$ (superlevel sets of $\operatorname{KDE}_{P}$ ).


## Experiments

## Experiments: Power of Kernel Distance

$10 K$ points in $[0,1]^{2}$, noise $N(0,0.005), 25 \%$ of $P$ as noise


Persistence diagram using standard distance function (no useful features due to noise) and kernel distance.

## Experiments: Coreset

Original data v.s. Coreset, 10 K vs. 1384 points


Other Kernels

## Beyond Gaussian kernels

- More general theory for KDE with systematic understanding of family of kernels: distance to a measure (KNN kernel), kernel distance (a larger class of kernels, e.g. Gaussian, Laplace; triangle kernel may work OK in practice with less perfect properties).


## Alternative KDE

Laplace kernel $K(p, x)=\exp (-2\|x-y\| / \sigma)$

## Alternative KDE

Triangle kernel: $K(x, p)=\max \left\{0,1-\frac{\|p-x\|}{\sigma=0.05}\right\}$

## Alternative KDE

Epanechnikov kernel: (reconstruction)
$K(x, p)=\max \left\{0,1-\frac{\|p-x\|^{2}}{(\sigma=0.05)^{2}}\right\}$

## Alternative KDE

Ball kernel: $K(x, p)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if }\|p-x\| \leq \sigma=0.05 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}$
$\alpha$-shape can be viewed as using the ball kernel with $\sigma=\alpha$ and $r=1 / n$.

## Alternative KDEs



## Multi-dim / scale space persistence? Parameter selection?

Two parameters $r$ (isolevel) and $\sigma$ (outlier/bandwidth) that control the scale.


Figure: Sublevel sets for the kernel distance. Left: fix $\sigma$, vary $r$. Right: fix $r$, vary $\sigma$. The values of $\sigma$ and $r$ are chosen to make the plots similar.

## Structural Inference using <br> distance to measure

## Distance to a measure [Chazal Colenesteiene Meigot 2011]

Intuition: $W_{2}$ distance to $m_{0}$ fraction of the space.
$\mu$ : probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$
$m_{0}>0$ : a parameter smaller than the total mass of $\mu$
The distance to a measure $d_{\mu, m_{0}}^{\mathrm{CCM}}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
d_{\mu, m_{0}}^{\mathrm{CCM}}(x)=\left(\frac{1}{m_{0}} \int_{m=0}^{m_{0}}\left(\delta_{\mu, m}(x)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} m\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where $\delta_{\mu, m}(x)=\inf \left\{r>0: \mu\left(\bar{B}_{r}(x)\right) \leq m\right\}$.


Wasserstein-2 distance $W_{2}(\mu, \nu)=\inf _{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}}\|x-y\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \pi(x, y)\right)^{1 / 2}$

## Distance to a measure $d_{\mu, m_{0}}^{\mathrm{CCM}}$ is distance-like

(D1) 1-Lipschitz
(D2) 1-semiconcave
(D3) Proper (for Groves Isotopy Lemma).
(D4) [Stability] For probability measures $\mu$ and $\nu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and

$$
m_{0}>0, \text { then }\left\|d_{\mu, m_{0}}^{\mathrm{CCM}}-d_{\nu, m_{0}}^{\mathrm{CCM}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{0}}} W_{2}(\mu, \nu) .
$$

Stability: two distance to a measure are close if their corresponding measures are close.

[Chazal Cohen-Steiner Merigot 2011]

## Tools for persistent homology computation

## Computing PH

- Ripser:
- https://github.com/Ripser/ripser
- http://live.ripser.org/
- TDA-R:
- https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/TDA/index.html
- DIPHA
- https://github.com/DIPHA/dipha
- PHAT
- https://github.com/blazs/phat
- GUDHI
- https://project.inria.fr/gudhi/software/



# Thanks! 

## Any questions?

You can find me at: beiwang@sci.utah.edu
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