## CS 6210 Fall 2016

## Bei Wang

## Lecture 4 <br> Floating Point Systems Continued

 20

## Take home message

1. Floating point rounding
2. Rounding unit
3. 64 bit word: double precision (IEEE standard word)
4. Exact rounding
5. Guard digits
6. General floating point systems
7. Spacing of floating point numbers
8. Cancellation error
9. Good coding practice in floating point arithmetic

## The almost complete story on FP rounding

Decimal FP rounding (Integer rounding), Binary FP rounding<br>Possibly all you have to know about FP rounding ON THE WHITEBOARD



## Guard Digit

## ON THE WHITEBOARD

## Rounding Unit

## ON THE WHITEBOARD



## FP addition and multiplication

Rules, Fixings and Properties ON THE WHITEBOARD


## Good (bad) coding practices

## For FP arithmetic

http://www.codeproject.com/Articles/29637/Five-Tips-for-Floating-Point-Programming


## Rule 1: Don't test for equality

```
double x;
double y;
if (x == y) {...}
double x = 10;
double y = sqrt(x);
y *= y;
if (x == y)
    cout << "Square root is exact\n";
else
    cout << x-y << "\n";
```

double tolerance $=\ldots$
if (fabs $(x-y)<$ tolerance $)\{\ldots\}$

Equality test likely to fail: FP numbers may not match in their last bits...
Solution: set a tolerance...


## Rule 2: FP has finite ranges

```
float f = 16777216;
cout << f << " " << f+1 << "\n";
```

$\mathrm{x}=9007199254740992 ; / / 2^{\wedge} 53$
cout << $((x+1)-x)$ << " $\backslash n "$;

```
x = 1.0;
y = x + 0.5*DBL_EPSILON;
if ( }x==y\mathrm{ )
    cout << "Sorry!\n";
```

DBL_EPSILON: smallest positive number e s.t. 1+e! =1.
Here $f=f+1$ Because float (or double) has no precision left to represent $f+1$.
Solution: be aware of data range and be ready to handle exception


## Rule 3: Use Logarithms to avoid overflow and underflow

How do you evaluate 200!/(190!10!]?
Solution: consider $\log [x y]=\log x+\log y$, then $\log [n!]=\log [n]+\log [n-1]+$ ... log[1]

```
x = exp( logFactorial(200)
    - logFactorial(190)
    - logFactorial(10) );
```

double logFactorial(int $n$ )
\{
double sum $=0.0$;
for (int $\mathrm{i}=2$; $\mathrm{i}<=\mathrm{n} ;+\mathrm{i}$ )
sum $+=\log (($ double $)$ i);
return sum;
\}

## Rule 4: Numerical operations do not always return numbers

$x=$ DBL_MAX;
cout << 2*x << " $\backslash n^{\prime \prime}$;

DBL_MAX: largest number to be represented by a double in $\mathrm{C}_{++}$
What to do when NaN occurs?


## Rule 5: SW specific rounding rules

MATLAB: $y=$ round $(x)$ : nearest integer; when tie, away from zero
MATLAB: $y=$ convergent( $x$ ): nearest integer; when tie, nearest even number
Mathematica: $y=$ Round[x]: nearest integer; when tie, nearest even number Python: round( $x, n$ ): round to the nearest; when tie, round away from zero

```
>> round(2.675, 2)
2.67
```

Why not 2.68?
2.67499999999999982236431605997495353221893310546875

Decimal to binary FP approximation with exact value closer to 2.67.
https://docs.python.org/2/tutorial/Floatingpoint.html


For your procrastination reading list

1. More details: http://www.codeproject.com/Articles/25294/Avoiding-Overflow-Un derflow-and-Loss-of-Precision
2. William D. (Bill) Young Lecture slides http://www.cs.utexas.edu/-byoung/cs429/slides4-fp.pdf

## Extra Notes

## WHITEBOARD SUMMARY



## The almost complete story on FP rounding

Decimal FP rounding (Integer rounding), Binary FP rounding<br>Possibly all you have to know about FP rounding WHITEBOARD SUMMARY



## IEEE Standard for FP arithmetic (IEEE 754-1985, newer 2008)

## Five rounding rules [1-3 directed rounding, 4-5 round to nearest]

1. Round towards 0 "truncation"
2. Round towards +infinity "round up"
3. Round towards -infinity "round down"
4. Round to nearest, ties away from zero (typical for decimal FP)
5. Round to nearest, ties to even (default for binary FP, recommended for decimal FP): Round to the nearest value, if number falls exactly midway, it is rounded to the nearest value with an even (e.g. zero for binary FP) least significant bit.
Rule 5 prevents upwards or downwards bias in summing many \#s that look like $x .5$, since round up and round down happen $50 \%$ of the time.


Example 1. Decimal FP round to integer



## Example 2 decimal FP to nearest 100th (RULE 5)

| Decimal FP |  | Rounded Value | Rule |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.2349999 | 1.23 | round down |
|  | 1.2350001 | 1.24 | round up |
| 1.2350000 | 1.24 | tie, round to even |  |
|  |  | 1.2450000 |  |



## Example 3. Binary FP round to nearest $1 / 4$ (2 bits fraction)

 RULE 5| value | Binary <br> representation | Rounded value in <br> binary | Rounded value in <br> decimal | Action |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2+3 / 32$ | 10.00011 | 10.00 | 2 | round down |
| $2+3 / 16$ | 10.00110 |  | 10.01 |  |
| $2+7 / 8$ | 10.11100 |  | $2+1 / 4$ |  |
| $2+5 / 8$ | 10.10100 |  | 10.00 |  |



## Guard Digit

## WHITEBOARD SUMMARY

## Example: precision $p=3$

Exact result: 10.1-9.93 $=0.17$
Computing without guard digit
$x=10.1=1.01 \times 101, y=0.99 \times 101$
$x-y=0.02 \times 107$ wrong!
Computing with 1 guard digit
$x=1.010 \times 10^{\circ} 1, y=0.993 \times 10^{\circ} 1$
$x-y=0.017 \times 10^{1} 1$ exact!


## Theorems

Theorem 1: Using FP format with parameters b (base) and p (precision), counting differences using $p$ digits, the relative error of the result can be as large as b-1.

Theorem 2: $x$ and $y$ are $F P$ with base $b$ and precision $p$, if subtraction is done with $p+1$ digits (i.e. 1 guard digit), then the relative error is upper bounded by 2 times machine precision.
http://www.sci.utah.edu/-beiwang/teaching/cs6210/Goldberg.pdf


## Rounding Unit

WHITEBOARD SUMMARY

## Rounding Unit (a.k.a. machine precision)

It is the upper bound on relative error due to rounding in FP arithmetic Base: b
precision: $p$ (number of digits of the significand, including the leading implicit bit]
rounding unit: $e=1 / 2 b\{1-p\}$
Example 1:
$b=2, p=53$ (52 fraction bit, plus 1 implicit bit)
$e=1 / 22^{-}\{-52\}=2^{-}\{-53\} \approx 1.11 \times e^{-}\{-16\}$
Example 2 (consider single precision)
NOTE: some authors refer to machine precision differently (differ by order of 2)


## Deriving the Rounding Unit

Hint: using two FP numbers that are close to each other, with the same exponent $e$, they have a spacing of $b^{\wedge}\{e-\{p-1\}\}$.
Example:
$b=2, p=1$, two adjacent FP numbers are $1 \times 2^{2} e$ and $0 \times 2^{\wedge} e$ : spacing $=2^{\wedge} e$ $b=2, p=2,1.0 \times 2^{2} e, 1.1 \times 2{ }^{-} \mathrm{e}$ : spacing $=2^{\sim}\{e-1\}$


## General FP System

WHITEBOARD SUMMARY



## General FP System IEEE Standard ( $\mathbf{b}, \mathrm{\rho}, \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{U}$ )

b: base; p: precision; L<=e <=U
Consider $b=2$, common FP systems:

|  | name | exponent bit | fraction bit | L | U | exponent <br> bias |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| binary16 | half <br> precision | 5 | 11 | -14 | 15 | $2 \sim 4-1=15$ |
| binary32 | single | 8 | 24 | -126 | 127 | $2 \sim 7-1=127$ |
| binary64 | double | 11 | 53 | -1022 | 1023 | $2 \sim 10-1=1023$ |
| binary128 | quadruple | 15 | 113 | -16382 | 16383 | $2 \wedge 14-1=16383$ |

## FP representation

S: sign bit
$E=(\exp$ bit - bias $)$
$M=1 . x x . . . x$ [p bits including $p-1$ bits of fraction)
FP representation: $(-1)^{\wedge} S \times M \times 2^{\wedge} E$

The largest number precisely represented by a Standard Word $S=-1, E=2013, M=1.11 . .1$ (total 53 bits)
$(1+1 / 2+1 / 4+\ldots . .1 /[2 \sim 52)\} \times 2^{\wedge} 1023=\left\{2-2^{\wedge}\{-52)\right\} \times 2^{\wedge} 1023 \approx 2^{\wedge} 1024 \approx 10^{\wedge} 308$
The smallest
$(1+0) \times 2^{-}(-1022) \approx 2.2 \times 10^{-}(-308)$
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