

# Math 6610: Analysis of Numerical Methods, I

## Eigenvalues and eigenvectors

Department of Mathematics, University of Utah

Fall 2025

Resources: Trefethen and Bau 1997, Lecture 24  
Süli and Mayers 2003, Section 5.1  
Salgado and Wise 2022, Sections 1.3, 8.3

Given  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ,  $(\lambda, v) \in \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})$  is an eigenvalue-eigenvector pair if

$$Av = \lambda v.$$

Recall: it doesn't matter what value(s)  $\lambda$  takes on, but  $v$  *cannot* be  $0$ .

Given  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ,  $(\lambda, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})$  is an eigenvalue-eigenvector pair if

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}.$$

Recall: it doesn't matter what value(s)  $\lambda$  takes on, but  $\mathbf{v}$  *cannot* be  $\mathbf{0}$ .

Some additional terminology/properties:

- The collection of all eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $\lambda(\mathbf{A}) \subset \mathbb{C}$ , its *spectrum*.
- Even if  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $\lambda(\mathbf{A})$  can contain complex values, and eigenvectors can be complex-valued.
- On paper, we typically identify the spectrum by computing roots of the *characteristic polynomial*,  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = \det(\lambda\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})$ . (This turns out to be a *terrible* algorithmic strategy.)

There are many properties of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ :

There are many properties of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ :

- All square matrices have exactly  $n$  eigenvalues, with some possibly repeated.
- All square matrices have at least 1 eigenvector.

There are many properties of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ :

- All square matrices have exactly  $n$  eigenvalues, with some possibly repeated.
- All square matrices have at least 1 eigenvector.
- Eigenvectors from *distinct* eigenvalues are linearly independent.
- Every *distinct* eigenvalue has at least 1 eigenvector.

There are many properties of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ :

- All square matrices have exactly  $n$  eigenvalues, with some possibly repeated.
- All square matrices have at least 1 eigenvector.
- Eigenvectors from *distinct* eigenvalues are linearly independent.
- Every *distinct* eigenvalue has at least 1 eigenvector.
- The collection of all eigenvectors associated to an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is a subspace, and is frequently called an *eigenspace*  $E_\lambda$ .
- Eigenspaces are invariant subspaces of  $\mathbf{A}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{A}E_\lambda \subseteq E_\lambda$ .

There are many properties of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ :

- All square matrices have exactly  $n$  eigenvalues, with some possibly repeated.
- All square matrices have at least 1 eigenvector.
- Eigenvectors from *distinct* eigenvalues are linearly independent.
- Every *distinct* eigenvalue has at least 1 eigenvector.
- The collection of all eigenvectors associated to an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is a subspace, and is frequently called an *eigenspace*  $E_\lambda$ .
- Eigenspaces are invariant subspaces of  $\mathbf{A}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{A}E_\lambda \subseteq E_\lambda$ .
- The number of times an eigenvalue is repeated  $a_\lambda$  is its *algebraic multiplicity*
- The *geometric multiplicity*  $g_\lambda$  of an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is  $\dim E_\lambda$ .
- *Simple* eigenvalues  $\lambda$  have  $g_\lambda = a_\lambda = 1$ .



There are many properties of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ :

- All square matrices have exactly  $n$  eigenvalues, with some possibly repeated.
- All square matrices have at least 1 eigenvector.
- Eigenvectors from *distinct* eigenvalues are linearly independent.
- Every *distinct* eigenvalue has at least 1 eigenvector.
- The collection of all eigenvectors associated to an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is a subspace, and is frequently called an *eigenspace*  $E_\lambda$ .
- Eigenspaces are invariant subspaces of  $\mathbf{A}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{A}E_\lambda \subseteq E_\lambda$ .
- The number of times an eigenvalue is repeated  $a_\lambda$  is its *algebraic multiplicity*
- The *geometric multiplicity*  $g_\lambda$  of an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is  $\dim E_\lambda$ .
- *Simple* eigenvalues  $\lambda$  have  $g_\lambda = a_\lambda = 1$ .
- In general we always have  $g_\lambda \leq a_\lambda$ , so that,  $1 \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \lambda(\mathbf{A})} g_\lambda \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \lambda(\mathbf{A})} a_\lambda = n$ .

There are many properties of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ :

- All square matrices have exactly  $n$  eigenvalues, with some possibly repeated.
- All square matrices have at least 1 eigenvector.
- Eigenvectors from *distinct* eigenvalues are linearly independent.
- Every *distinct* eigenvalue has at least 1 eigenvector.
- The collection of all eigenvectors associated to an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is a subspace, and is frequently called an *eigenspace*  $E_\lambda$ .
- Eigenspaces are invariant subspaces of  $A$ , i.e.,  $AE_\lambda \subseteq E_\lambda$ .
- The number of times an eigenvalue is repeated  $a_\lambda$  is its *algebraic multiplicity*
- The *geometric multiplicity*  $g_\lambda$  of an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is  $\dim E_\lambda$ .
- *Simple* eigenvalues  $\lambda$  have  $g_\lambda = a_\lambda = 1$ .
- In general we always have  $g_\lambda \leq a_\lambda$ , so that,  $1 \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \lambda(A)} g_\lambda \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \lambda(A)} a_\lambda = n$ .
- Eigenvalues  $\lambda$  with  $g_\lambda < a_\lambda$  are *defective*
- Any  $A$  with a defective eigenvalue is *defective*.

Two square matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are *similar* if  $\exists$  an invertible  $S$  such that

$$B = S^{-1}AS.$$

(The map  $A \mapsto S^{-1}AS$  is a similarity transform.)

Two square matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are *similar* if  $\exists$  an invertible  $S$  such that

$$B = S^{-1}AS.$$

(The map  $A \mapsto S^{-1}AS$  is a similarity transform.)

Suppose  $A$  is not defective: then with linearly independent  $v_i$ ,  $i \in [n]$  we have the relations,

$$Av_i = \lambda_i v_i.$$

This is equivalent to,

$$AV = \Lambda V, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} | & & | \\ v_1 & \cdots & v_n \\ | & & | \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

Two square matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are *similar* if  $\exists$  an invertible  $S$  such that

$$B = S^{-1}AS.$$

(The map  $A \mapsto S^{-1}AS$  is a similarity transform.)

Suppose  $A$  is not defective: then with linearly independent  $v_i$ ,  $i \in [n]$  we have the relations,

$$Av_i = \lambda_i v_i.$$

This is equivalent to,

$$AV = \Lambda V, \quad V = \left( \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} & & & & \\ & v_1 & \cdots & v_n & \\ & & & & \end{array} \right), \quad \Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

And since  $V$  is full-rank, then

$$A = V^{-1}\Lambda V,$$

i.e.,  $A$  is similar to a diagonal matrix containing its spectrum.

This motivates a key definition and consequence.

## Definition

A square matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is diagonalizable if it is similar to a diagonal matrix.

## Theorem

*A square matrix  $A$  is diagonalizable iff it is not defective.*

When  $A$  is not defective, it is diagonalizable via a matrix whose columns are comprised of its linearly independent eigenvectors.

One simple observation is that the set of eigenvalues is invariant under a(ny) similarity transform, since if  $\mathbf{A}$  is diagonalizable with diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ , then,

$$\mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{S} = (\mathbf{V} \mathbf{S})^{-1} \mathbf{\Lambda} (\mathbf{V} \mathbf{S})$$

is a diagonalization of  $\mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{S}$  with the same diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ .

One simple observation is that the set of eigenvalues is invariant under a(ny) similarity transform, since if  $\mathbf{A}$  is diagonalizable with diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ , then,

$$\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{S} = (\mathbf{V}\mathbf{S})^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda}(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{S})$$

is a diagonalization of  $\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{S}$  with the same diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ .

More consequences follow, e.g., some familiar determinant and trace properties,

$$\det \mathbf{A} = \prod_{j=1}^n \lambda_j, \qquad \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A} = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j.$$

The above is actually true for any square matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , defective or not.



Defective matrices certainly exist. The most common example is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A rather nice fact is that the above example is “spiritually” the prototypical and essentially only type of defective matrix.

Defective matrices certainly exist. The most common example is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A rather nice fact is that the above example is “spiritually” the prototypical and essentially only type of defective matrix.

### Theorem (Jordan normal form)

*Every square matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is bidiagonalizable, i.e., is similar to a bidiagonal matrix.*

*More specifically, let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_U$  be the unique eigenvalues of  $A$ , and suppose they have algebraic and geometric multiplicities  $a_j$  and  $g_j$ ,  $j \in [U]$ , respectively. Then:*

$$A = VJV^{-1}, \quad V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n},$$

*where  $V$  is invertible, and  $J$  is bidiagonal with  $\Lambda(A)$  on the diagonal, given by,*

$$J = \bigoplus_{j \in [U]} (\lambda_j I_{g_j-1} \oplus J_j), \quad J_j = \lambda_j I_{a_j-g_j+1} + N_{a_j-g_j+1},$$

*where  $N_k$  is a  $k \times k$  matrix, nonzero only on its main superdiagonal that has entries all 1.*

“Most” square matrices are diagonalizable.

This is (incredibly) powerful: a symmetric linear change of basis of the input and output spaces results in a diagonal linear operator.

The particular change of basis can be quite anisotropic (and non-isometric) in nature.

All square matrices are not diagonalizable. However, ...

“Most” square matrices are diagonalizable.

This is (incredibly) powerful: a symmetric linear change of basis of the input and output spaces results in a diagonal linear operator.

The particular change of basis can be quite anisotropic (and non-isometric) in nature.

All square matrices are not diagonalizable. However, ...

- ...all square matrices are bidiagonalizable (Jordan normal form)

“Most” square matrices are diagonalizable.

This is (incredibly) powerful: a symmetric linear change of basis of the input and output spaces results in a diagonal linear operator.

The particular change of basis can be quite anisotropic (and non-isometric) in nature.

All square matrices are not diagonalizable. However, ...

- ...all square matrices are bidiagonalizable (Jordan normal form)
  - ...all square matrices are unitarily triangularizable (Schur decomposition)
- While triangularizability is not as clean as diagonalizability, that there are unitary transformations accomplishing this is very attractive.

“Most” square matrices are diagonalizable.

This is (incredibly) powerful: a symmetric linear change of basis of the input and output spaces results in a diagonal linear operator.

The particular change of basis can be quite anisotropic (and non-isometric) in nature.

All square matrices are not diagonalizable. However, ...

- ...all square matrices are bidiagonalizable (Jordan normal form)
  - ...all square matrices are unitarily triangularizable (Schur decomposition)
- While triangularizability is not as clean as diagonalizability, that there are unitary transformations accomplishing this is very attractive.

Are there matrices that are *unitarily* diagonalizable?

A seemingly unrelated algebraic definition is our starting point.

## Definition

A matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is *Hermitian* if  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^*$ .

(Hermitian matrices are also called *self-adjoint*, or *symmetric* when  $\mathbf{A}$  is real-valued.)

A seemingly unrelated algebraic definition is our starting point.

## Definition

A matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is *Hermitian* if  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^*$ .

(Hermitian matrices are also called *self-adjoint*, or *symmetric* when  $\mathbf{A}$  is real-valued.)

## Theorem (Spectral Theorem for Hermitian matrices)

If  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is Hermitian, then it is unitarily diagonalizable with real eigenvalues.

(Its spectrum is real-valued, and the similarity matrix accomplishing diagonalization is unitary.)



A seemingly unrelated algebraic definition is our starting point.

## Definition

A matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is *Hermitian* if  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^*$ .

(Hermitian matrices are also called *self-adjoint*, or *symmetric* when  $\mathbf{A}$  is real-valued.)

## Theorem (Spectral Theorem for Hermitian matrices)

If  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is Hermitian, then it is unitarily diagonalizable with real eigenvalues.

(Its spectrum is real-valued, and the similarity matrix accomplishing diagonalization is unitary.)

Hermitian matrices are very common in applications, and the spectral theorem has numerous uses.

If  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is unitarily diagonalizable, then it can be written as

$$A = U\Lambda U^* = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \mathbf{u}_j \mathbf{u}_j^*,$$

where  $\{\mathbf{u}_j\}_{j=1}^n$  are the columns of  $U$ .

If  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is unitarily diagonalizable, then it can be written as

$$A = U\Lambda U^* = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \mathbf{u}_j \mathbf{u}_j^*,$$

where  $\{\mathbf{u}_j\}_{j=1}^n$  are the columns of  $U$ .

I.e., Hermitian matrices (an algebraic property) have strong geometric interpretation: they are “just” diagonal matrices in a rotated/reflected orthonormal frame.

The *spectral radius* of a matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is

$$\rho(\mathbf{A}) := \max_{j=1,\dots,n} |\lambda_j(\mathbf{A})|$$

If  $\mathbf{A}$  is Hermitian, then  $\|\mathbf{A}\|_2 = \rho(\mathbf{A})$ .

This is direct from the definition of the induced 2-norm.

A matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is Hermitian positive definite (sometimes *symmetric* positive-definite or “spd”) if it’s Hermitian and its (real) spectrum is strictly positive.

(Respectively, positive semi-definite if the spectrum is non-negative.)

A matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is Hermitian positive definite (sometimes *symmetric* positive-definite or “spd”) if it’s Hermitian and its (real) spectrum is strictly positive.

(Respectively, positive semi-definite if the spectrum is non-negative.)

Such matrices actually define a norm:  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 := \mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$  is a norm.

There is a functional calculus on spd matrices.

For example, a matrix  $B$  is the square root of a matrix  $A$  if  $A = B^2$ .

There is a functional calculus on spd matrices.

For example, a matrix  $B$  is the square root of a matrix  $A$  if  $A = B^2$ .

### Example

If  $A$  is spd, compute a matrix square root of  $A$ .



There is a functional calculus on spd matrices.

For example, a matrix  $B$  is the square root of a matrix  $A$  if  $A = B^2$ .

### Example

If  $A$  is spd, compute a matrix square root of  $A$ .

### Theorem

*If  $A$  is spd, then there is a unique spd square root  $B$  of  $A$ , i.e.,  $B^2 = A$ .*

Given a Hermitian matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , the function,

$$Q_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x},$$

is a **quadratic form**, i.e., a real-valued quadratic function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The eigendecomposition of  $\mathbf{A}$  uniquely defines the behavior of  $Q_{\mathbf{A}}$ .

Given a Hermitian matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , the function,

$$Q_A(x) := x^* A x,$$

is a **quadratic form**, i.e., a real-valued quadratic function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The eigendecomposition of  $A$  uniquely defines the behavior of  $Q_A$ .

If the following eigenvectors correspond to the positive, negative, and zero eigenvalues of  $A$ , respectively,

$$\{v_i^+\}_{i \in [n^+]}, \quad \{v_i^-\}_{i \in [n^-]}, \quad \{v_i^0\}_{i \in [n^0]},$$

where  $n = n^+ + n^- + n^0$ . Then clearly:

$$Q_A(v_i^+) > 0, \quad Q_A(v_i^-) < 0, \quad Q_A(v_i^0) = 0.$$

Given a Hermitian matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , the function,

$$Q_A(x) := x^* A x,$$

is a **quadratic form**, i.e., a real-valued quadratic function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The eigendecomposition of  $A$  uniquely defines the behavior of  $Q_A$ .

If the following eigenvectors correspond to the positive, negative, and zero eigenvalues of  $A$ , respectively,

$$\{v_i^+\}_{i \in [n^+]}, \quad \{v_i^-\}_{i \in [n^-]}, \quad \{v_i^0\}_{i \in [n^0]},$$

where  $n = n^+ + n^- + n^0$ . Then clearly:

$$Q_A(v_i^+) > 0, \quad Q_A(v_i^-) < 0, \quad Q_A(v_i^0) = 0.$$

Generalizing this a bit:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} V^+ &:= \{v_i^+\}_{i \in [n^+]}, \\ V^- &:= \{v_i^-\}_{i \in [n^-]}, \\ V^0 &:= \{v_i^0\}_{i \in [n^0]} \end{aligned} \right\} \implies \begin{cases} Q_A(x) > 0 \text{ if } x \in V^+ \setminus \{0\} \\ Q_A(x) < 0 \text{ if } x \in V^- \setminus \{0\} \\ Q_A(x) = 0 \text{ if } x \in V^0 \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbb{C}^n = V^+ \oplus V^- \oplus V^0$ .

A final application of Hermitian matrices is a *variational* characterization of eigenvalues. We need some buildup for this.

Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be a(ny) square matrix, and let  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$  be a vector.

The Rayleigh Quotient (of  $A$  at  $x$ ) is the (complex) scalar,

$$R_A(x) := \frac{Q_A(x)}{\|x\|_2^2} = \frac{x^* A x}{x^* x} = \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle}, \quad x \neq 0$$

Ostensibly, if  $(\lambda, v)$  is an eigenpair of  $A$ , then  $R_A(v) = \lambda$ .

A final application of Hermitian matrices is a *variational* characterization of eigenvalues. We need some buildup for this.

Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be a(ny) square matrix, and let  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$  be a vector.

The Rayleigh Quotient (of  $A$  at  $x$ ) is the (complex) scalar,

$$R_A(x) := \frac{Q_A(x)}{\|x\|_2^2} = \frac{x^* A x}{x^* x} = \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle}, \quad x \neq 0$$

Ostensibly, if  $(\lambda, v)$  is an eigenpair of  $A$ , then  $R_A(v) = \lambda$ .

The numerical range of  $A$  is the set of all possible values of  $R_A$ :

$$W(A) := R_A(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}).$$

One can view  $W(A)$  as the image of the Rayleigh quotient over all  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , but also just as the image of the Rayleigh quotient over the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

$W(A)$  is some set in  $\mathbb{C}$ , regardless of the dimension  $n$  of  $A$ .

Clearly we know  $\lambda(A) \subset W(A)$ .

There is a rather more interesting property of the numerical range.

Theorem (Hausdorff-Toeplitz Theorem)

$W(\mathbf{A})$  is a compact and convex set in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Compactness:  $W(\mathbf{A})$  is the image of a compact set (unit sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ) under a continuous function ( $R_{\mathbf{A}}(\cdot)$ ).

There is a rather more interesting property of the numerical range.

Theorem (Hausdorff-Toeplitz Theorem)

$W(\mathbf{A})$  is a compact and convex set in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Compactness:  $W(\mathbf{A})$  is the image of a compact set (unit sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ) under a continuous function ( $R_{\mathbf{A}}(\cdot)$ ).

For certain classes of matrices, the Rayleigh quotient is a little more transparent.

For example, if  $\mathbf{A}$  is Hermitian, then  $R_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$ , so  $W(\mathbf{A}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ .



There is a rather more interesting property of the numerical range.

### Theorem (Hausdorff-Toeplitz Theorem)

$W(\mathbf{A})$  is a compact and convex set in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Compactness:  $W(\mathbf{A})$  is the image of a compact set (unit sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ) under a continuous function ( $R_{\mathbf{A}}(\cdot)$ ).

For certain classes of matrices, the Rayleigh quotient is a little more transparent.

For example, if  $\mathbf{A}$  is Hermitian, then  $R_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$ , so  $W(\mathbf{A}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

In fact, something more precise is true

### Theorem

If  $\mathbf{A}$  is Hermitian, then

$$\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{A}) \leq R_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

An immediate corollary: If  $\mathbf{A}$  is Hermitian, then  $W(\mathbf{A}) = [\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{A}), \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A})]$ .

Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be Hermitian. Consider a subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ .

The image of the  $V$  under the Rayleigh quotient,  $R_{\mathbf{A}}(V)$ , is some subset of  $W(\mathbf{A}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be Hermitian. Consider a subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ .

The image of the  $V$  under the Rayleigh quotient,  $R_{\mathbf{A}}(V)$ , is some subset of  $W(\mathbf{A}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

- The minimum value of  $R_{\mathbf{A}}(V)$  is  $\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{A})$ , occurring when  $V$  contains the minimum eigenvector. What is the largest possible minimum value?

Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be Hermitian. Consider a subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ .

The image of the  $V$  under the Rayleigh quotient,  $R_{\mathbf{A}}(V)$ , is some subset of  $W(\mathbf{A}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

- The minimum value of  $R_{\mathbf{A}}(V)$  is  $\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{A})$ , occurring when  $V$  contains the minimum eigenvector.  
What is the largest possible minimum value?
- The maximum value of  $R_{\mathbf{A}}(V)$  is  $\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A})$ .  
What is the smallest possible maximum value?

## Theorem (Courant-Fischer-Weyl “min-max”)

Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be Hermitian, with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ . Then for each  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,

$$\lambda_k = \min_{\substack{V \subset \mathbb{C}^n \\ \dim V = k}} \max W_{\mathbf{A}}(V)$$

$$\lambda_k = \max_{\substack{V \subset \mathbb{C}^n \\ \dim V = n - k + 1}} \min W_{\mathbf{A}}(V)$$

In addition, if  $(\mathbf{u}_j)_{j=1}^n$  are the eigenvectors associated with  $(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^n$ , then:

- $V = \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  achieves the outer minimum
- $V = \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_k, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  achieves the outer maximum

A matrix  $B$  is a **compression** of  $A$  if  $B = Q^* A Q$  for some  $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$  with orthonormal columns.

A matrix  $B$  is a **compression** of  $A$  if  $B = Q^* A Q$  for some  $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$  with orthonormal columns.

Just one consequence of the min-max theorem:

### Theorem (Cauchy interlacing)

*Let  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-1) \times (n-1)}$  be a compression of a Hermitian matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . If  $A$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ , and  $B$  has eigenvalues  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-1}$ , then*

$$\lambda_j \leq \mu_j \leq \lambda_{j+1},$$

*for all  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ .*