

# Cholesky factorizations

Lecture 11

October 21, 2021

Beck, sections 5.3

# Newton's method and positive-definite matrices <sup>L11-S01</sup>

For Hybrid Newton: need to determine if  $\nabla^2 f \stackrel{?}{=} 0$  or not.

Cholesky factorizations are an efficient alternative to eigenvalue decompositions.

# Cholesky factorization

L11-S02

Theorem: Let  $\underline{\underline{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and symmetric. Then

$\underline{\underline{A}} > \underline{\underline{0}}$  iff  $\exists \underline{\underline{L}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  that is invertible and lower triangular s.t.  $\underline{\underline{A}} = \underline{\underline{L}} \underline{\underline{L}}^T$ .

$\underline{\underline{L}}$  lower triangular: all entries above diagonal are 0



$\underline{\underline{A}} = \underline{\underline{L}} \underline{\underline{L}}^T$  is a Cholesky factorization of  $\underline{\underline{A}}$ .

How are Cholesky decompositions computed? Gaussian elimination with one modification.

Ex  $\underline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 12 & -16 \\ 12 & 37 & -43 \\ -16 & -43 & 98 \end{pmatrix}$  (from Wikipedia)

Perform Gaussian elimination, but at each pivot, ~~multiply~~ <sup>divide</sup> pivot row by square root of pivot element.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 12 & -16 \\ 12 & 37 & -43 \\ -16 & -43 & 98 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{r_2 \leftarrow r_2 - 3r_1 \\ r_3 \leftarrow r_3 + 4r_1}} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 12 & -16 \\ 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 5 & 34 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{r_1 \leftarrow r_1 \cdot \frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 6 & -8 \\ 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 5 & 34 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_3 \leftarrow r_3 - 5r_2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 6 & -8 \\ 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{r_2 \leftarrow \frac{1}{5} \cdot r_2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 6 & -8 \\ 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_3 \leftarrow \frac{1}{9} \cdot r_3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 6 & -8 \\ 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then:  $\underline{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 6 & -8 \\ 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $\underline{A} = \underline{L} \underline{L}^T$

Therefore:  $\underline{\underline{A}} \succ \underline{\underline{0}}$ .

How would this procedure fail? If a diagonal element is 0 or negative during the operation.

If the procedure fails, there is no  $\underline{\underline{L}}$  s.t.  $\underline{\underline{A}} = \underline{\underline{L}} \underline{\underline{L}}^T$ , and hence  $\underline{\underline{A}} \succ \underline{\underline{0}}$  is not true.

So: Cholesky factorizations (success or failure) are efficient ways to determine if  $\underline{\underline{A}} \succ \underline{\underline{0}}$  is true.

PSA: Do not implement Cholesky factorizations yourself.  
Use canned routines.

# Linear algebraic utility of Cholesky factorization <sup>L11-S03</sup>

# Cholesky factorizations in Newton's method

L11-S04

In hybrid Newton: ascertain  $\nabla^2 f(\underline{x}_k) \not\approx \underline{0}$  by

computing (or attempting to compute)  
a Cholesky factorization for  $\nabla^2 f(\underline{x}_k)$ .