# Department of Mathematics, University of Utah <br> Introduction to Optimization MATH 5770/6640, ME EN 6025 - Section 001 - Fall 2021 <br> Homework 3 <br> Least squares and gradient descent 

Due October 5, 2021

Submit your homework assignment as a scanned copy ON CANVAS, to the Homework 3 assignment.

Some of the exercises below are computational. The book problems are explained in Matlab. You need not use Matlab to complete the assignment; numerical simulation with any programming language is acceptable.
Text: Introduction to Nonlinear Optimization, Amir Beck,
Exercises: \# 3.1,
3.2,
4.3 (only the first 3 parts, ignore the diagonally scaled portions)

## Additional problems:

P1. (Maximum likelihood estimation) Let $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{M}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ denote $M$ data points on the real line. The overall goal of this problem is to "fit" a probability distribution to these data points.
In particular, we assume that this data arose as (independent, identically distributed) samples from an unknown probability distribution with density $p(y)$. In order to find $p(y)$, we assume further that $p$ corresponds to a normal distribution, i.e., a distribution having density

$$
p(y ; \mu, \sigma)=\frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(-(y-\mu)^{2} /\left(2 \sigma^{2}\right)\right)
$$

where $\mu$ and $\sigma$ are the unknown mean and standard deviation of the distribution. We will choose the parameters $(\mu, \sigma)$ of this distribution as those parameters that maximize the "likelihood" of the data. In particular, given $(\mu, \sigma)$ and the data $\left\{y_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{M}$, the likelihood is formally defined as

$$
\mathcal{L}(\mu, \sigma):=\prod_{m=1}^{M} p\left(y_{m} ; \mu, \sigma\right)=\prod_{m=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(-\left(y_{m}-\mu\right)^{2} /\left(2 \sigma^{2}\right)\right),
$$

which is the probability of seeing independent data $\left\{y_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{M}$ conditioned on their distribution having parameters ( $\mu, \sigma$ ). (It is not necessary for you to understand probability to complete this problem.)
The maximum likelihood estimate is the parameter choice that maximizes the likelihood:

$$
\left(\mu_{*}, \sigma_{*}\right)=\underset{\mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}_{+}+}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathcal{L}(\mu, \sigma) .
$$

Show that a strict global maximum of this optimization problem is given by

$$
\mu_{*}=\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} y_{m}, \quad \quad \sigma_{*}^{2}=\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M}\left(y_{m}-\mu_{*}\right)^{2}
$$

(You may find it convenient to (i) use the logarithm function to monotonically transform the likelihood, (ii) convert the maximization problem into a minimization problem.)
6000-level students only: Simulate this result - with $M=100$, choose some fixed value of $\mu, \sigma$ and generate data $\left\{y_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{100}$ from a normal distribution with your prescribed $(\mu, \sigma)$. Compare a histogram of the data against the density $p\left(\cdot ; \mu_{*}, \sigma_{*}\right)$ computed as the maximum likelihood estimate above.

P2. (Maximum likelihood for coin flips) Suppose that you are given the result of 100 flips of a two-sided coin. Let $H$ denote the number of heads observed, and $T$ the number of tails (so that $H+T=100$ ). Assume that $H, T>0$. The coin may not be fair; it has probability $p \in[0,1]$ that a heads is observed (and $1-p$ for tails). The goal is determine the parameter $p$ that maximizes the likelihood of having observed $(H, T)$. Given that the likelihood is equal to

$$
\mathcal{L}(p)=\binom{100}{H} p^{H}(1-p)^{T},
$$

compute a maximum likelihood estimate for $p$. Is your computed value a global maximum?

P3. (6000-level students only) Consider the optimization problem,

$$
\min _{\boldsymbol{x} \in S \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}} f(\boldsymbol{x}),
$$

where $S$ is a given subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given function. Prove that if $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a strictly monotonic increasing function, then

$$
\underset{\boldsymbol{x} \in S \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}}{\operatorname{argmax}} f(\boldsymbol{x})=\underset{\boldsymbol{x} \in S \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}}{\operatorname{argmax}} g(f(\boldsymbol{x})), \quad \underset{\boldsymbol{x} \in S \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(\boldsymbol{x})=\underset{\boldsymbol{x} \in S \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}}{\operatorname{argmin}} g(f(\boldsymbol{x})) .
$$

