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## Eigenvalues: power iteration

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be Hermitian with eigenpairs $\left(\lambda_{j}, v_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{n}$ that are simple and ordered such that $\left|\lambda_{j}\right|>\left|\lambda_{j+1}\right|$.

Power iteration performs the following basic steps:
Initialize with a vector $v$ (e.g., randomly):

1. $v \leftarrow \frac{A v}{\|A v\|_{2}}$
2. $\lambda \leftarrow R_{A}(v)$
3. Return to step 1

For a large number of iterations, then $(\lambda, v)$ converges to $\left(\lambda_{1}, v_{1}\right)$.
To make this practically useful, need to supplement with termination criterion, deflation.

## Power iteration's drawback

One major drawback of power iteration is that the ratio

$$
\begin{array}{r}
r=\left|\frac{\lambda_{j+1}}{\lambda_{j}}\right|, \quad \text { Recall convergence } \\
O\left(r^{k}\right) \text { (a) iteration } k .
\end{array}
$$

dictates the rate of convergence for computing eigenpair $j$.
This implies, in particular, that the effectiveness of this algorithm depends heavily on $A$.

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Power iteration's drawback

One major drawback of power iteration is that the ratio

$$
\left|\frac{\lambda_{j+1}}{\lambda_{j}}\right|,
$$

dictates the rate of convergence for computing eigenpair $j$.
This implies, in particular, that the effectiveness of this algorithm depends heavily on $A$.

But power iteration is simple and the idea is attractive. Is there a way to accelerate power iteration?

Inverse iteration
Inverse iteration "modifies" the spectrum of $A$ so that power iteration will be "more successful."
spectrum has "larger" spacing.
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Inverse iteration "modifies" the spectrum of $A$ so that power iteration will be more successful.

First we note that, given some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, the eigenvalues of $(A-\mu I)^{-1}$ are $1 /\left(\lambda_{j}-\mu\right)$.

## Inverse iteration

Inverse iteration "modifies" the spectrum of $A$ so that power iteration will be more successful.

First we note that, given some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, the eigenvalues of $(A-\mu I)^{-1}$ are $1 /\left(\lambda_{j}-\mu\right)$.

The essential realization is that, if $\mu \approx \lambda_{j}$, then

$$
\frac{1}{\left|\mu-\lambda_{j}\right|} \gg \frac{1}{\left|\mu-\lambda_{k}\right|}, \quad \quad k \neq j
$$

I.e., if we can somehow get a "reasonable" guess $\mu$, then power iteration on $(A-\mu I)^{-1}$ will be very efficient.

## Rayleigh iteration

The idea of Rayleigh iteration is to combine

- inverse iteration, which accelerates identification of eigenvectors, with
- Rayleigh quotient evaluations, which accelerate identification of eigenvalues.

The idea of Rayleigh iteration is to combine

- inverse iteration, which accelerates identification of eigenvectors, with
- Rayleigh quotient evaluations, which accelerate identification of eigenvalues.
Initialize with a vector $v$ and scalar $\mu$ (e.g., randomly):

1. $v \leftarrow(A-\mu I)^{-1} v \quad$ (inverse iteration)
2. $v \leftarrow v /\|v\|_{2}$
$\mu$
3. $\mathrm{X} \leftarrow R_{A}(v)$
(Rayleigh quotient)
4. Return to step 1

In principle this is more expensive than power iteration: we must solve $(A-\mu I) x=v$ at every step.

## Rayleigh iteration, II

The rather surprising fact: this is an extremely efficient algorithm.
Let $\lambda^{(k)}, v^{(k)}$ be the Rayleigh iteration eigenpair approximation at iteration $k$. Let $\lambda^{(k+1)}, v^{(k+1)}$ be the Rayleigh iteration eigenpair approximation at iteration $k+1$.

The rather surprising fact: this is an extremely efficient algorithm.
Let $\lambda^{(k)}, v^{(k)}$ be the Rayleigh iteration eigenpair approximation at iteration $k$. Let $\lambda^{(k+1)}, v^{(k+1)}$ be the Rayleigh iteration eigenpair approximation at iteration $k+1$.

Theorem
For almost every initialization of Rayleigh iteration, there is some eigenpair $\left(\lambda_{j}, v_{j}\right)$ of $A$ such that

$$
\left|\lambda_{j}-\lambda^{(k+1)}\right| \leqslant\left|\lambda_{j}-\lambda^{(k)}\right|^{3}, \quad \quad\left\|v_{j}-v^{(k+1)}\right\| \leqslant\left\|v_{j}-v^{(k)}\right\|^{3}
$$

Convergence is cubic!
Proof $(-i s h): 1$ exponent of convergence from power iteration
2 exponents from Rayleigh quotient.

Rayleigh Iteration: choose initial vector $U$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v^{(0)}=v \\
& \lambda^{(0)}=R_{A}(v)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k=1 ; 2 \ldots$

$$
w=\left(A-\lambda^{(k-1)} I\right)^{-1} v^{(k-1)}
$$

$$
v^{(k)}=w /\|w\|
$$

$$
\lambda^{(k)}=R_{A}\left(v^{(k)}\right)
$$

before was $\mu$
For HF: need termination + deflation

Rayleigh iteration is really efficient.
Erg. $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, n=100$.
Power iteration: performs some iterations, periodically $T$ deflate.
Same idea
for Rayleigh iteration
Let's count \# of iterations per deflation.

there \#'s ore 2..7 7

Hotelling deflation (A Hermition)

$$
A=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} v_{j} v_{j} * \text { ( } E-v \text { decompostion of } A \text { ) }
$$

Suppose find eigenpar $(\mu, w) \cdot\left[=\left(\lambda_{k}, v_{k}\right)\right.$ for some $k$ ]

$$
A-\mu w w^{*}=\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{n} \lambda_{j} v_{j} v_{j} \ll \text { rank-(n-1) }
$$

