

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
Analysis of Numerical Methods I
MATH 6610 – Section 001 – Fall 2020
Homework 4
Approximation techniques

Due Thursday, December 3, 2020 by 11:59pm MT

Submission instructions:

Create a private repository on `github.com` named `math6610-homework-4`. Add your \LaTeX source files and your Matlab/Python code and push to Github. To submit: grant me (username `akilnarayan`) write access to your repository.

You may grant me write access before you complete the assignment. I will not look at your submission until the due date+time specified above. If you choose this route, I will only grade the assignment associated with the last commit before the due date.

All commits timestamped after the due date+time will be ignored

All work in commits before the final valid timestamped commit will be ignored.

Problem assignment:

- P1.** Let $w(x)$ be a strictly positive, bounded weight function on an interval I on the real line. (I may be unbounded if w decays at infinity sufficiently quickly.) Given $x_1, \dots, x_N \in I$, let I_N be the associated degree- $(N - 1)$ polynomial interpolation operator, i.e., if f is continuous, then $I_N f$ is degree- $(N - 1)$ polynomial that interpolates f at the x_j . Define

$$C_w(I) = \{f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \|f\|_{w,\infty} < \infty\}, \quad \|f\|_{w,\infty} := \sup_{x \in I} w(x)|f(x)|.$$

Prove the following weighted version of Lebesgue's Lemma,

$$\|f - I_N f\|_{w,\infty} \leq [1 + \Lambda_w] \inf_{p \in P_{N-1}} \|f - p\|_{w,\infty},$$

where P_{N-1} is the space of polynomials of degree at most $N - 1$, and

$$\Lambda_w = \sup_{x \in I} w(x) \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{|\ell_j(x)|}{w(x_j)},$$

where $\ell_j \in P_{N-1}$ is the cardinal Lagrange interpolant, $\ell_j(x_i) = \delta_{i,j}$.

- P2.** Define the standard L^2 Sobolev spaces of periodic functions on $[0, 2\pi]$: Given a non-negative integer s ,

$$H_p^s([0, 2\pi]) = \left\{ f : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f^{(r)}(0) = f^{(r)}(2\pi) \text{ for } r = 0, \dots, s-1, \text{ and } \|f\|_{H_p^s} < \infty \right\},$$

where $f^{(r)}$ denotes the r th derivative of f (with $f^{(0)} \equiv f$), and

$$\|f\|_{H_p^s}^2 = \sum_{j=0}^s \|f^{(j)}\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_{j=0}^s \int_0^{2\pi} |f^{(j)}(x)|^2 dx.$$

Let f_n denote the frequency- n Fourier Series approximation to f on $[0, 2\pi]$, i.e.,

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{|j| \leq n} \widehat{f}_j(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ijx}.$$

Prove that,

$$\|f - f_n\|_{H_p^j} \leq n^{j-s} \|f\|_{H_p^s}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq s \quad (1)$$

P3. (Discrete Fourier Transforms) Given $f \in L^2([0, 2\pi])$, consider the problem of approximating f by a frequency- n Fourier series:

$$f(\theta) \approx p(\theta) = \sum_{|j| \leq n} c_j \phi_j(\theta), \quad \phi_j(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n+1}} e^{ij\theta}.$$

In this problem, we'll consider interpolative approximations with the equidistant nodal set on $[0, 2\pi]$,

$$\theta_k = \frac{2\pi k}{2n+1}, \quad k = 0, \dots, 2n.$$

The interpolation conditions on these $2n+1$ points furnish constraints for the $2n+1$ coefficients c_j defined by the linear system:

$$Vc = f, \quad (f)_j = f(\theta_j), \quad (c)_j = c_j.$$

The map defined by matrix V is called the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT).

- (a) Show that V is unitary, hence the DFT is a unitary operator.
- (b) Assume that $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} |f(\theta)|$ is finite. Define the interpolation operator I_n as the map $f \mapsto p$, with f and p as given above, where both are treated as elements of $L^2([0, 2\pi])$. Derive a bound for the norm of this operator:

$$\|I_n\|_{L^2([0, 2\pi]) \rightarrow L^2([0, 2\pi])},$$

which may be in terms of n and $\|f\|_\infty$, and $\|f\|_{L^2}$.

- (c) Assume that $f \in H_p^s([0, 2\pi])$ for some $s > 0$. Prove a variant of Lebesgue's Lemma using a combination of (i) the interpolation operator norm above and (ii) the bound for L^2 -optimal Fourier series approximation (1). I.e., provide a bound for

$$\|f - I_n f\|_{L^2},$$

where the bound depends only on norms of f , and on n , and s .

P4. (Non-polynomial interpolation) Let x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} be distinct real-valued nodes on a compact interval $[a, b]$, and let f be a given continuous function. Prove that the interpolation problem on these nodes that seeks a function p from the space

$$p \in \text{span}\{1, e^x, e^{2x}, \dots, e^{nx}\},$$

is unisolvent. (Hint: this problem can be reduced to polynomial interpolation.)

P5. This problem concerns interpolation, quadrature formulas, and differentiation formulas. All these problems should be done without a computer.

- (a) Let $h(x) = x^3 - 1$. Compute the degree-3 polynomial that interpolates $h(x)$ at $x = -1, 0, 1, 2$.
- (b) Let $g(x) = x^4 - 1$. Compute the degree-3 polynomial that interpolates $g(x)$ at $x = -1, 0, 1, 2$.
- (c) Compute weights for the closed 4-point Newton-Cotes quadrature rule on $[-1, 1]$. (I.e., the equidistant rule with nodes at the boundaries.)
- (d) Consider weights w_j and w'_j for a quadrature rule of the form

$$\int_0^1 f(x) dx \approx w_0 f(0) + w_1 f(1) + w'_0 f'(0) + w'_1 f'(1),$$

where f' is the derivative of f . Compute these weights for a quadrature rule that is exact for all polynomials up to degree 3.

- (e) Given $h > 0$, compute weights for the following one-sided differentiation formula,

$$f'(x) = w_0 f(x) + w_1 f(x+h) + w_2 f(x+2h)$$

so that the formula has as high a degree of polynomial accuracy as possible. What is the truncation error for this formula?

- (f) Given $h > 0$, compute the weights for the following central differentiation formula:

$$f''(x) = w_{-1} f(x-h) + w_0 f(x) + w_1 f(x+h)$$

so that the formula has as high a degree of polynomial accuracy as possible. What is the truncation error for this formula?

Computing assignment:

C1. (Polynomial interpolation) This problem concerns univariate polynomial interpolation.

- a. Let $h(x) = 1/(1 + 25x^2)$. Let $h_N(x)$ denote the degree- $(N - 1)$ polynomial interpolant of $h(x)$ at N equispaced points on the interval $[-1, 1]$. Plot h and the interpolant h_N for $N = 5, 20, 50$.
- b. Plot the Lebesgue function for equispaced points on this interval for $N = 5, 20, 50$. Use this to explain your findings in the previous part.
- c. Let $j_N(x)$ denote the degree- $(N - 1)$ polynomial interpolant of $h(x)$ at N Chebyshev points on $[-1, 1]$. Plot h and the interpolant j_N for $N = 5, 20, 50$.
- d. Plot the Lebesgue function for Chebyshev points on this interval for $N = 5, 20, 50$. Use this to explain your findings in the previous part.

C2. (Fourier series error) In this problem you will approximate the following functions on the interval $[0, 2\pi]$:

$$f_j(\theta) := \exp g_j(\theta),$$

where $g_j(\theta)$ are defined by the iterative relations for $j \geq 1$:

$$g_j(\theta) := \int_0^\theta g_{j-1}(\tau) d\tau - c_j, \quad g_0(\theta) := \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq \theta < \frac{\pi}{2} \\ -1, & \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta < \frac{3\pi}{2} \\ 1, & \frac{3\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq 2\pi \end{cases}$$

The coefficients c_j are chosen such that $\int_0^{2\pi} g_j(\theta) d\theta = 0$.

With p_n the discrete Fourier Transform approximation described in P3, plot $\|f_0 - p_n\|_{L^2}$ as a function of n and use this to determine a rate of convergence. Note that you cannot really compute the errors exactly, so you will need to use a discrete (say equidistant) grid to do so; you will need to use a sufficiently refined grid so that errors measure mainly the error in Fourier interpolation and are not dominated by the quadrature (discretization) error.

Repeat this experiment for $j = 1, 2, 3$, plotting the L^2 error as a function of n and also determining the rate of convergence.

(Think a bit about the best way to present these results visually. From your expectation of how the error should behave, what is a revealing way to visualize the errors?)

C3. (AAA rational approximation) Implement the AAA algorithm. Apply this algorithm for approximation of the function

$$f(z) = \tan(\beta z),$$

for complex numbers z and a given positive real parameter β . Use 1000 equispaced points on the unit circle in the complex plane as the training grid, and plot the maximum error (on this training grid) as a function of the number of iterations of the algorithm. Plot such curves for $\beta = 4, 16, 64, 256$.