BINARY HEAPS 2
administrivia
assignment 10 is due tonight

assignment 11 is up, due next Thursday
assignment 7 scores
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let’s chat about ethics...
// djb2 hash function
unsigned long hash(unsigned char *str)
{
    unsigned long hash = 5381;
    int c;

    while (c = *str++)
        hash = ((hash << 5) + hash) + c; /* hash * 33 + c */

    return hash;
}
last time...
complete trees
- a complete binary tree has its levels completely filled, with the possible exception of the bottom level

- bottom level is filled from left to right

- each level has twice as many nodes as the previous level
- A N-node complete tree has at most (logN) height

- Operations are thus \( \text{at most } O(\log N) \)

- Each level has twice as many nodes as the previous one

  - How do we use this knowledge to simplify the implementation?
complete trees as an array

- if we are guaranteed that tree is complete, we can implement it as an array instead of a linked structure

- the root goes at index 0, its left child at index 1, its right child at index 2

- for any node at index i, its two children are at index \((i*2) + 1\) and \((i*2) + 2\)
-for example, d’s children start at (3*2) + 1

-how do we that f has no children?
-any node’s parent is at index $(i-1)/2$
remember the priority queue?
A priority queue is a data structure in which access is limited to the minimum item in the set.

- Add
- FindMin
- DeleteMin

Add location is unspecified, so long as the above is always enforced.

What are our options for implementing this?
binary heap
-a **binary heap** is a binary tree with two special properties
  - *structure*: it is a complete tree
  - *order*: the data in any node is less than or equal to the data of its children

- this is also called a **min-heap**

- a **max-heap** would have the opposite property
-where is the smallest item?
adding to a heap

-we must be careful to maintain the two properties when adding to a heap
  -structure and order

-deal with the structure property first... where can the new item go to maintain a complete tree?

-then, percolate the item upward until the order property is restored
  -swap upwards until > parent
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cost of add

- percolate up until smaller than all nodes below it...

-how many nodes are there on each level (in terms of N)?
  - about half on the lowest level
  - about 3/4 in the lowest two levels
-if the new item is the smallest in the set, cost is \(O(\log N)\)
  -must percolate up every level to the root
  -complete trees have \(\log N\) levels
    -is this the worst, average, or best case?

-it has been shown that on average, 1.6 comparisons are needed for any \(N\)
  -thus, add terminates early, and average cost is \(O(1)\)
you may find conflicting information on the average cost value

-some sources may say $O(\log N)$
  -it depends on the level of the analysis and how tight of a bound we want

-don’t always believe what you read, test for yourself!
remove from a heap

-priority queues only support removing the smallest item
  -in heap this is always what?

-remove and return the root
  -we have a hole at the top, structure property is violated
  -fill the whole with another item in the tree
    -which one?
  -percolate down
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cost of remove

-worst case is $O(\log N)$
  -percolating down to the bottom level

-average case is also $O(\log N)$
  -rarely terminates more than 1-2 levels from the bottom… why?
back to the priority queue…
- **option 1: a linked list**
  - add: $O(1)$
  - findMin: $O(N)$
  - deleteMin: $O(N)$ (including finding)

- **option 2: a sorted linked list**
  - add: $O(N)$
  - findMin: $O(1)$
  - deleteMin: $O(1)$

- **option 3: a self-balancing BST**
  - add: $O(\log N)$
  - findMin: $O(\log N)$
  - deleteMin: $O(\log N)$

- **option 4: a binary heap**
  - add: $O(1)$ (percolate up average of 1.6 swaps)
  - findMin: $O(1)$ (just access the root)
  - deleteMin: $O(\log N)$ (percolate down but rarely terminates before bottom)
today...
- min-max heaps
- add
- delete max
- delete min
- delete algorithm
min-max heaps
-a **min-max heap** further extends the heap order property
  - for any node E at *even* depth, E is the minimum element in its subtree
  - for any node O at *odd* depth, O is the maximum element in its subtree

  -the root is considered to be at even depth (zero)
WHERE IS THE SMALLEST ITEM?
WHERE IS THE LARGEST ITEM?
add
AGAIN, we must ensure the heap property structure
  - must be a complete tree
  - add an item to the next open leaf node

THEN, restore order with its parent
  - does it belong on a min level or a max level?
  - swap if necessary
  - the new location determines if it is a min or max node

percolate up the appropriate levels
  - if new item is a max node, percolate up max levels
  - else, percolate up min levels
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Parent on a **MIN** level, and 13 is greater than parent, so no swap necessary.

13 is now a **MAX** node.
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DONE!
-if the parent is on a **min** level, new node must be greater than the parent

-if the parent is on a **max** level, new node must be less than the parent

-percolate up like normal, except skip every other level
delete max
- max node is one of the two children of the root

- replace max node with the last leaf node in the tree
  - preserve structure property!

- restore order with the new node’s children
  - if any child is larger, swap
  - percolate swapped child down the max levels
  - if no child was larger, percolate the new node down the max levels

- if the node reaches the second to last level of tree, may require one more swap with direct children
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DONE!
- if 7 had been less than one of its DIRECT children we would have swapped them
  - we would have then percolated that child down the max levels instead of 7

- very similar to a regular min-heap, except we skip every other level when percolating
deleting the min
- the min node is always the ____
- replace it with last leaf node
- restore order with direct children
- then, percolate new root down the min levels
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DOES 6 HAVE GRANDCHILDREN?
6 has no grandchildren, but is not a leaf node.
Compare with direct children to ensure order property.
6 is on a **MIN** level, so it must be smaller than children. Swap with smallest.
6 is on a MIN level, so it must be smaller than children. Swap with smallest.
6 is on a **MIN** level, so it must be smaller than children. Swap with smallest.
6 is on a **MIN** level, so it must be smaller than children.

**Swap with smallest**

**Done!**
delete algorithm
delete max (min is analogous)

1. locate node X (node containing max item)

2. replace X with last node in tree (last index in array!)

3. determine if new X is violating order property with direct children
   - if so, swap contents of X with the largest child

4. percolate new item X down max levels

5. if lowest max level reached, restore order with lowest min level (if applicable)
doubly-ended priority queue
-add
-findMin
-findMax
-deleteMin
-deleteMax

-min AND max items can be found in constant time with a min-max heap

-BUT… lots of special cases
   -tutorial on website with diagrams and step-by-step explanations
next time...
-reading
  -chapter 12 in book

-homework
  -assignment 10 due tonight
  -assignment 11 is out