Interpreting Complex Images Using Appearance Models

Chris Taylor Imaging Science and Biomedical Engineering University of Manchester



- Tim Cootes
- Gareth Edwards
- Christine Beeston

Rhodri Davies (IPMI 2003 and PhD Thesis)



- Problem definition / motivation
- Modelling shape
- Modelling appearance
- Interpreting images using appearance models
- Practical applications

Problem Definition / Motivation

Complex and Variable Objects

Faces

Medical images

Manufactured assemblies

Understanding Images

Relating image to a conceptual model

high-level interpretation

'Explaining' the image

class of valid interpretations

- Labelling structures
 - basis for analysis

What Makes a Good Approach?

Principled

- makes assumptions explicit
- uses domain knowledge systematically
- Generic
 - can be applied directly to new problems
- Computationally tractable
 - practical using standard PC/workstation

Interpretation by Synthesis

Interpret images using generative models of appearance – 'explain' the image



Generative Models

High-level description

- shape
- spatial relationships
- grey-level appearance (texture map)
- Compact
- Parameterised

Modelling Issues

General

- deformable to represent any example of class
- Specific
 - only represent 'legal' examples of class
- Learn from examples
 - knowledge of how things vary
 - generic

Modelling Shape

Modelling



Figure 3.1. A training set of hand outlines.

From: PhD thesis Rhodri Davies

Modelling



Figure 3.2. How manual landmarks were placed on the training boundaries.

From: PhD thesis Rhodri Davies

Modelling Shape

Define each example using points

Each (aligned) example is a vector

$$\mathbf{x}_{i} = \{x_{i1}, y_{i1}, x_{i2}, y_{i2} \dots x_{in}, y_{in}\}$$

Statistical Shape Models



- Shape vector
 - statistical analysis
 - correspondence problem

Modelling Shape

Points tend to move in correlated ways



Let \mathbf{x}_i be a vector describing the *n* points of the *i*th shape in the set;

 $x_i = (x_{i0}, y_{i0}, x_{i1}, y_{i1}, ..., x_{ik}, y_{ik}, ..., x_{in-1}, y_{in-1})^T$ where (x_{ij}, y_{ij}) is the *j*th point of the *i*th shape.

The mean shape, \overline{x} , is calculated using $\overline{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i$

The modes of variation, the ways in which the points of the shape tend to move together, can be found by applying principal component analysis to the deviations from the mean as follows [22]. For each shape in the training set we calculate its deviation from the mean, dx_i , where

$$d\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}} \tag{2}$$

We can then calculate the $2n \ge 2n$ covariance matrix, S, using

$$S = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} d\mathbf{x}_i d\mathbf{x}_i^T$$
(3)

The modes of variation of the points of the shape are described by p_k (k = 1..2n), the unit eigenvectors of S such that

$$Sp_{k} = \lambda_{k}p_{k}$$
(4)
$$\lambda_{k} \text{ is the } k \text{ 'th eigenvalue of } S, \ \lambda_{k} \ge \lambda_{k+1} \text{)}$$
$$p_{k}^{T}p_{k} = 1$$
(5)

(where

Most of the variation can usually be explained by a small number of modes, t (<2n). One method for calculating t is to choose the smallest number of modes such that the sum of their variances explain a sufficiently large proportion of λ_T , the total variance of all the variables, where

$$\lambda_T = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \lambda_k \tag{6}$$





Eigenvalues (example with 22 shapes)

Cumulative function of eigenvalues, normalized

Any shape in the training set can be approximated using the mean shape and a weighted sum of these deviations obtained from the first *t* modes

$$x = \overline{x} + Pb \tag{8}$$

(9)

where $P = (p_1 \ p_2 \ \dots \ p_t)$ is the matrix of the first t eigenvectors,

 $\boldsymbol{b} = (b_1 \ b_2 \ \dots \ b_t)^T$ is a vector of weights, one for each eigenvector

the eigenvectors are orthogonal, $P^T P = I$ so

 $b = P^{T}(x - \overline{x})$

Each shape x with dimensionality 2n can be expressed with a b-vector with dimensionality t, t << 2n)

The above equations allow us to generate new examples of the shapes by varying the parameters (**b**) within suitable limits, so the new shapes will be similar to those in the training set.

Modelling Shape

 Principal component analysis (PCA) $\mathbf{x} = \overline{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{P}\mathbf{b}$ \mathbf{P} = modes of variation $\mathbf{b} = \text{shape vector}$ Reduced dimensionality - typically 10 - 50 shape parameters

Statistical Shape Models

• Principal components analysis (PCA)

Generative shape model:

 $\mathbf{x}_i = \overline{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{Pb}_i$

x : mean shape
P : modes of variation
b_i : shape parameters

Reduced dimensionality

- typically 10 - 50 shape parameters

Hand Model

Modes of shape variation



Hand Model: Eigenmodes of Variation



Figure 3.3. The first three modes of variation of the manually constructed hand outline model. Each parameter $(b_m, m = 1...3)$ is varied independently by $\pm 2\sqrt{\lambda_m}$.

From: PhD thesis Rhodri Davies

Importance of Correspondence



Figure 5.3. A subset of the ocrrespondences and the alignment used to build the equally spaced Hand model.

Figure 5.4. The manual landmarks and alignment used to build the manual Hand model. From: PhD thesis Rhodri Davies

Left: Arc-length parametrization

Right: Manual placement of corresponding landmarks

Correspondence and quality of shape model



Figure 3.4. The first mode of variation of models *A* and *B*. The first parameter (b_1) is varied by $\pm 3\sqrt{\lambda_m}$.

From: PhD thesis Rhodri Davies

Left: Manual placement, Right: Arc-length parametrization

Face Model

Shape and spatial relationships

