


Photometric Stereo, Shape from Shading SfS Chapter 12.1.1. Szelisky

Guido Gerig CS 6320, Spring 2012

Credits: M. Pollefey UNC CS256, Ohad Ben-Shahar CS BGU, Wolff JUN (http://www.cs.jhu.edu/~wolff/course600.461/week9.3/index.htm)



Photometric Stereo




Depth from Shading?

First step: Surface Normals from Shading

Second step: Re-integration of surface from Normals



Examples




http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sfCQ7f7PMbc&feature=related



Simulated voyage over the surface of Neptune's large moon Triton

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nwzVrC2GQXE



http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KiTA6ftyQuY



Shape from Shading

Inverting the image formation process




Image formation = "Shading from shape" (and light sources)


Credit: Ohad Ben-Shahar CS BGU



Shape from Shading

Authors: Emmanuel Prados and Olivier Faugeras

CVPR'2005, International Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, San Diego, CA, USA, June 2005.



a) Synthetic image generated from the classical Mozart's face [Zhang-Tsai-etal:99];
 b) reconstructed surface from a) by new algorithm;
 c) real image of a face;
 d)-e) reconstructed surface from c) by new algorithm.



Photometric Stereo


Assume:

- a local shading model
- a set of point sources that are infinitely distant
- a set of pictures of an object, obtained in exactly the same camera/object configuration but using different sources
- A Lambertian object (or the specular component has been identified and removed)



Setting for Photometric Stereo

Multiple images with different lighting (vs binocular/geometric stereo)






Goal: 3D from One View and multiple Source positions

Input images






Usable Data Mask






Scene Results

Needle Diagram: Surface Normals






Albedo







Projection model for surface recovery - usually called a Monge patch






Lambertian Reflectance Map


LAMBERTIAN MODEL

$$E = \rho < n, n_s > = \rho \cos \theta$$



$$COS\theta = \frac{1 + pp_L + qq_L}{\sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2} \sqrt{1 + p_L^2 + q_L^2}}$$

REFLECTANCE MAP IS A VIEWER-CENTERED REPRESENTATION OF REFLECTANCE



REFLECTANCE MAP IS A VIEWER-CENTERED REPRESENTATION OF REFLECTANCE

$$(-f x, -f y, 1) = (-p, -q, 1)$$

p, q comprise a gradient or gradient space representation for local surface orientation.


Reflectance map expresses the reflectance of a material directly in terms of viewer-centered representation of local surface orientation.




Reflectance Map (ps=0, qs=0)

The Reflectance Map – Lambertian surface from overhead source position


$$R(p,q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p^2 + q^2 + 1}}$$







Shading on Lambertian surface - Overhead point source






Shape from Shading

Shading on Lambertian surface – General point source


$$I = \rho(\hat{N} \cdot \hat{L}) = \rho \frac{-p \cdot L_x - q \cdot L_y + L_z}{\sqrt{p^2 + q^2 + 1} \sqrt{L_x^2 + L_y^2 + L_z^2}} = \rho \frac{p \cdot p_L + q \cdot q_L + 1}{\sqrt{p^2 + q^2 + 1} \sqrt{p_L^2 + q_L^2 + 1}}$$





The Reflectance Map - Lambertian surface from general source position

$$R(p,q) = \frac{p \cdot p_L + q \cdot q_L + 1}{\sqrt{p^2 + q^2 + 1} \sqrt{p_L^2 + q_L^2 + 1}}$$





Reflectance Map (General)




Figure 10-13. The reflectance map is a plot of brightness as a function of surface orientation. Here it is shown as a contour map in gradient space. In the case of a Lambertian surface under point-source illumination, the contours turn out to be nested conic sections. The maximum of R(p,q) occurs at the point $(p,q)=(p_s,q_s)$, found inside the nested conic sections, while R(p,q)=0 all along the line on the left side of the contour map.





Given Intensity I in image, there are multiple (p,q) combinations (= surface orientations).

⇒ Use multiple images with different light source directions.




Figure 10-13. The reflectance map is a plot of brightness as a function of surface orientation. Here it is shown as a contour map in gradient space. In the case of a Lambertian surface under point-source illumination, the contours turn out to be nested conic sections. The maximum of R(p,q) occurs at the point $(p,q)=(p_s,q_s)$, found inside the nested conic sections, while R(p,q)=0 all along the line on the left side of the contour map.



Multiple Images = Multiple Maps

Can isolate p, q as contour intersection





Figure 10-21. In the case of a Lambertian surface illuminated successively by two different point sources, there are at most two surface orientations that produce a particular pair of brightness values. These are found at the intersection of the corresponding contours in two superimposed reflectance maps.


Example: Two Views



Still not unique for certain intensity pairs.

Constant Albedo





$$I_1 = \rho \mathbf{S}_1.\mathbf{N}$$

$$I_{2} = \rho \mathbf{S}_{2}.\mathbf{N}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{1} \\ I_{2} \\ I_{3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_{1}^{T} \\ \mathbf{S}_{2}^{T} \\ \mathbf{S}_{3}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \rho \mathbf{N}$$
Solve linear equation

 $\rho \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{I}$

Solve linear equation system to calculate \overline{N} .



Varying Albedo

Solution Forsyth & Ponce:

For each point source, we know the source vector (by assumption). We assume we know the scaling constant of the linear camera (k). Fold the normal (\mathbf{N}) and the reflectance ($\rho(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$) into one vector \mathbf{g} , and the scaling constant and source vector into another $\mathbf{V_i}$.

Out of shadow:


$$I(x,y) = kB(\mathbf{x})$$

 $= kB(x,y)$
 $= k\rho(x,y)\mathbf{N}(x,y) \cdot \mathbf{S}_1$
 $= \mathbf{g}(x,y) \cdot \mathbf{V}_1$

• In shadow:

$$I(x,y)=0$$

where $\mathbf{g}(x,y) = \rho(x,y)\mathbf{N}(x,y)$ and $\mathbf{V}_1 = k\mathbf{S}_1$, where k is the constant connecting the camera response to the input radiance.



Multiple Images: Linear Least Squares Approach

- Combine albedo and normal
- Separate lighting parameters
- More than 3 images => overdetermined system

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{V}_1^T \\ \mathbf{V}_2^T \\ \dots \\ \mathbf{V}_n^T \end{pmatrix}$$
 $\mathbf{i}(x,y) = \{I_1(x,y), I_2(x,y), \dots, I_n(x,y)\}^T$ $\mathbf{i}(x,y) = \mathcal{V}\mathbf{g}(x,y)$ \mathbf{g} is obtained by solving this linear system: $\mathbf{\bar{g}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$


• How to calculate albedo ρ and \overline{N} ?

$$\bar{g}(x,y) = \rho(x,y)\bar{N}(x,y)$$

$$\rightarrow \bar{N} = \frac{\bar{g}}{|\bar{g}|}, \quad \rho(x,y) = |\bar{g}|$$



Example LLS Input



Problem: Some regions in some images are in the shadow (no image intensity).

Dealing with Shadows (Missing Info)

For each point source, we know the source vector (by assumption). We assume we know the scaling constant of the linear camera. Fold the normal and the reflectance into one vector g, and the scaling constant and source vector into another Vi

Out of shadow:

$$I_{j}(x,y) = kB(x,y)$$


$$= k\rho(x,y) \left(\mathbf{N}(x,y) \bullet \mathbf{S}_{j} \right)$$

$$= \mathbf{g}(x,y) \bullet \mathbf{V}_{j}$$

• In shadow:

$$I_i(x,y) = 0$$

No partial shadow



Matrix Trick for Complete Shadows

Matrix from Image Vector:

$$\mathcal{I}(x,y) = \left(egin{array}{cccc} I_1(x,y) & \dots & 0 & 0 \ 0 & I_2(x,y) & \dots & 0 \ \dots & & & & \ 0 & 0 & \dots & I_n(x,y) \end{array}
ight)$$

Multiply LHS and RHS with diag matrix

⇒ Relevant elements of the left vector and the matrix are zero at points that are in shadow.




Obtaining Normal and Albedo

- Given sufficient sources, we can solve the previous equation (most likely need a least squares solution) for g(x, y).
- Recall that N(x, y) is the unit normal.
- This means that $\rho(x,y)$ is the magnitude of g(x, y).
- This yields a check
 - If the magnitude of $\mathbf{g}(x, y)$ is greater than 1, there's a problem.
- And $N(x, y) = g(x, y) / \rho(x,y)$.




Example LLS Input





Example LLS Result


• Reflectance / albedo:





Recap


Obtain normal / orientation, no depth





Goal

Shape as surface with depth and normal



Recovering a surface from normals - 1

Recall the surface is written as

This means the normal has the form:

$$N(x,y) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{f_x^2 + f_y^2 + 1}}\right) \begin{pmatrix} -f_x \\ -f_y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 Then we obtain values for the partial derivatives of the surface:

 If we write the known vector g as

$$\mathbf{g}(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(x,y) \\ g_2(x,y) \\ g_3(x,y) \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we obtain values

$$f_x(x,y) = (g_1(x,y)/g_3(x,y))$$

 $f_y(x,y) = (g_2(x,y)/g_3(x,y))$



Recovering a surface from normals - 2

Recall that mixed second partials are equal --- this gives us an integrability check. We must have:


$$\frac{\partial (g_1(x,y)/g_3(x,y))}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial (g_2(x,y)/g_3(x,y))}{\partial x}$$

• We can now recover the surface height at any point by integration along some path, e.g.

$$f(x,y) = \int_{0}^{x} f_{x}(s,y)ds + \int_{0}^{y} f_{y}(x,t)dt + c$$



Height Map from Integration



Possible Solutions

- Engineering approach: Path integration (Forsyth & Ponce)
- In general: Calculus of Variation Approaches
- Horn: Characteristic Strip Method
- Kimmel, Siddiqi, Kimia, Bruckstein: Level set method
- Many others

Shape by Integation (Forsyth&Ponce)

- The partial derivative gives the change in surface height with a small step in either the x or the y direction
- We can get the surface by summing these changes in height along some path.

$$f(x,y) = \oint_C \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) \cdot d\boldsymbol{l} + c$$

For example, we can reconstruct the surface at (u, v) by starting at (0, 0), summing the y-derivative along the line x = 0 to the point (0, v), and then summing the x-derivative along the line y = v to the point (u, v)

$$f(u,v) = \int_0^v \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,y)dy + \int_0^u \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,v)dx + c$$

```
Obtain many images in a fixed view under different illuminants
Determine the matrix \mathcal{V} from source and camera information
Create arrays for albedo, normal (3 components),
  p (measured value of \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}) and
  q (measured value of \frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial u})
For each point in the image array
  Stack image values into a vector i
  Construct the diagonal matrix {\cal I}
  Solve \mathcal{IV}oldsymbol{q} = \mathcal{I}oldsymbol{i}
    to obtain g for this point
  albedo at this point is |g|
  normal at this point is \frac{g}{|q|}
  p at this point is \frac{N_1}{N_3}
  q at this point is \frac{N_2^2}{N_2}
end
Check: is (\frac{\partial p}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial q}{\partial x})^2 small everywhere?
top left corner of height map is zero
for each pixel in the left column of height map
  height value=previous height value + corresponding q value
end
for each row
  for each element of the row except for leftmost
     height value = previous height value + corresponding p value
  end
end
```

Simple Algorithm Forsyth & Ponce

Problem: Noise and numerical (in)accuracy are added up and result in distorted surface.

Solution: Choose several different integration paths, and build average height map.



Mathematical Property: Integrability

• Smooth, C2 continuous surface:

$$Z(x,y)_{xy} = Z(x,y)_{yx}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}$$

 \Rightarrow check if $(\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial q}{\partial x})^2$ is small

SHAPE FROM SHADING (Calculus of Variations Approach)

• First Attempt: Minimize error in agreement with Image Irradiance Equation over the region of interest:

$$\iint_{object} (I(x, y) - R(p, q))^2 dxdy$$

SHAPE FROM SHADING (Calculus of Variations Approach)

• Better Attempt: Regularize the Minimization of error in agreement with Image Irradiance Equation over the region of interest:

$$\iint_{object} p_x^2 + p_y^2 + q_x^2 + q_y^2 + \lambda (I(x, y) - R(p, q))^2 dxdy$$



Small step in $x,y \rightarrow$ change in depth:

$$\delta z = p \, \delta x + q \, \delta y$$

Horn, Chapter 11, pp. 250-255

New values of p,q at this new point (x,y):

$$\delta p = r \, \delta x + s \, \delta y$$
 and $\delta q = s \, \delta x + t \, \delta y$

(r, s, t: second partial derivatives of z(x,y) w.r.t. x and y)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta p \\ \delta q \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H} \begin{pmatrix} \delta x \\ \delta y \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ s & t \end{pmatrix}$$
 Hessian: curv. of surface

$$r = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$$
 $s = \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}$ $t = \frac{\partial q}{\partial y}$



Irradiance Equation, Reflectance Map:

Horn, Chapter 11, pp. 250-255

$$E(x,y) = R(p,q)$$

Derivatives (chain rule):

$$E_x = r R_p + s R_q$$

and

$$E_y = s R_p + t R_q,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_x \\ E_y \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H} \begin{pmatrix} R_p \\ R_q \end{pmatrix},$$

Relationship between gradient in the image and gradient in the reflectance map



2 Equations for 3 unknowns (r,s,t): We can't continue in artibrary direction.

Horn, Chapter 11, pp. 250-255

→ Trick: Specially chosen direction

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta x \\ \delta y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R_p \\ R_q \end{pmatrix} \delta \xi$$

Step in image E(x,y) parallel to gradient in R



2 Equations for 3 unknowns (r,s,t): We can't continue in artibrary direction.

Horn, Chapter 11, pp. 250-255

→ Trick: Specially chosen direction

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta x \\ \delta y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R_p \\ R_q \end{pmatrix} \delta \xi$$

Step in image E(x,y) parallel to gradient in R

Solving for new values for p,q:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta p \\ \delta q \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H} \begin{pmatrix} \delta x \\ \delta y \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H} \begin{pmatrix} R_p \\ R_q \end{pmatrix} \delta \xi$$

$$\longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \delta p \\ \delta q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E_x \\ E_y \end{pmatrix} \delta \xi.$$
Change in (p,q) can be computed via gradient of image






Figure 11-6. Curiously, the step taken in pq-space is parallel to the gradient of E(x, y), while the step taken in xy-space is parallel to the gradient of R(p, q).



$$\dot{x}=R_p, \qquad \dot{y}=R_q, \qquad \dot{z}=p\,R_p+q\,R_q,$$
 $\dot{p}=E_x, \qquad \dot{q}=E_y,$

dots denote differentiation with respect to ξ .

Solution of differential equations: Curve on surface




Figure 11-5. The solution of the shape-from-shading problem is determined by solving five differential equations for x, y, z, p, and q. The result is a characteristic strip, a curve in space along which surface orientation is known.



Shape recovery via characteristic strips

Shape from Shading via Characteristic Curves


Given


- I(x,y) of an (orthographic) projection of unknown H(x,y)
- The reflectance map R(p,q)
- Initial data $x_0 y_0$, $H(x_0 y_0)$, $p(x_0 y_0)$, $q(x_0 y_0)$

Develop a curve solution on H(x,y) by taking small steps of size δs via the system $\delta x = R_n \delta s$

$$\begin{split} &\delta\!\!\!\!/ = R_q \delta\!\!\!\!/ s \\ &\delta\!\!\!\!/ H = \! \left(p R_p + q R_q \right) \!\!\!/ \delta\!\!\!\!/ s \\ &\delta\!\!\!\!/ p = \! I_x \delta\!\!\!\!/ s \\ &\delta\!\!\!/ q = \! I_v \delta\!\!\!\!/ s \end{split}$$








Horn, Chapter 11, pp. 250-255



Figure 11-7. The shape-from-shading method is applied here to the recovery of the shape of a nose. The first picture shows the (crudely quantized) gray-level image available to the program. The second picture shows the base characteristics superimposed, while the third shows a contour map computed from the elevations found along the characteristic curves.




Another Solution to SFS: Kimmel, Siddiqi, Kimia, Bruckstein

Proposed Solution: Evolve a curve such that it tracks the height contours of z(x, y). [Kimmel *et al.*, IJCV95]

Height climbed while progressing a distance $|\Delta C|$ in the direction \hat{n} in the (x, y) plane is given by $|\Delta C| = |\Delta z| \cot(\alpha)$.

Let z denote time in the course of evolution, i.e., z=t. Since $E=\rho\lambda\cos(\alpha)$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\Delta C}{\Delta t} \right| = \cot(\alpha) = \frac{E/\rho\lambda}{\sqrt{1 - (E/\rho\lambda)^2}}.$$
 (11)



pdf document



Kimmel, Siddiqi, Kimia, Bruckstein

Proposed Solution: Evolve a curve such that it tracks the height contours of z(x, y). [Kimmel *et al.*, IJCV95]

The curve evolution equation is:


$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial t} = \frac{E/\rho\lambda}{\sqrt{1 - E^2/(\rho\lambda)^2}}.\hat{n}, \\ \mathcal{C}(s, 0) = \mathcal{C}_0(s). \end{cases}$$



Kimmel, Siddiqi, Kimia, Bruckstein


Examples - Pyramids





shaded image

equal height contours



numerical solution


true surface



Kimmel, Siddiqi, Kimia, Bruckstein


Examples - Three Mountains





shaded image

equal height contours



numerical solution

true surface



Application Area: Geography







Abb. 13: Schrigdicht-Schräglichtschatterung des Untersuchungsgebiets auf Basis des verbesserten. DGM (Andlorung = 10m), übschapert mit den harten (= rot) und weschen (=blau) Struktmen und den Höhenlinken (=braun). Abbüldungsmaßstab 1:35.000.







Application: Braille Code








Abbildung 3:

Oben links: Messanordnung mit einer Kamera und vier blauen LED-Leuchtfeldern.


Unten links: Ausschnitt einer Faltschachtel mit Blindenschrift-Prägung.

Rechts: 3D-Bild nach SFS-Analyse. Darunter ist ein Höhenprofil durch drei Braille-Punkte dargestellt.

pdf document



Mars Rover Heads to a New Crater NYT Sept 22, 2008





Limitations

- Controlled lighting environment
 - Specular highlights?
 - Partial shadows?
 - Complex interrreflections?
- Fixed camera
 - Moving camera?
 - Multiple cameras?
- => Another approach: binocular /
 geometric stereo