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## Lecture notes - Polynomial interpolation

Wednesday, November 13, 2019

These notes are not a substitute for class attendance. Their main purpose is to provide a lecture overview summarizing the topics covered.

We will be interested in polynomial approximation in one dimension. Approximating by polynomials is often motivated by the following result, the Weierstrass Approximation Theorem: let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any continuous function on the compact interval $[a, b]$. Then there exist a sequence of polynomials $p_{k}$, each of degree $k$, such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{x \in[a, b]}\left|f(x)-p_{k}(x)\right|=0 .
$$

This result is heartening, but it turns out that constructing polynomials having the above approximation property can in fact be quite hard.
Perhaps the conceptually easiest way to construct an approximating polynomial is with interpolation: let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be $n$ points in $\mathbb{R}$, and define $P_{k}$ as the space of polynomials of degree $k$ or less,

$$
P_{k}=\operatorname{span}\left\{1, x, \ldots, x^{k}\right\} .
$$

Suppose now we are given a continuous function $f(x)$. The goal is to find an element of $P_{k}$ that interpolates $f$ at the sites $x_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n$. By a counting argument it seems plausible that we can choose $k=n-1$ and achieve a unique polynomial from $P_{n-1}$ satisfying the interpolation conditions. There are two fairly straightforward ways to show this. The first way uses linear algebra: We seek to find $p \in P_{n-1}$ satisfying $p\left(x_{j}\right)=f\left(x_{j}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots n$. This means

$$
p(x)=\sum_{q=1}^{n} c_{q} x^{q-1}, \quad \quad p\left(x_{j}\right)=\sum_{q=1}^{n} c_{q} x_{j}^{q-1}=f\left(x_{j}\right),
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$. The conditions above are linear in the coefficients $c_{q}$, so we collect the coefficients into a vector $\boldsymbol{c}$, which must satisfy the linear system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{V} \boldsymbol{c}=\boldsymbol{f}, \quad(V)_{j, q}=x_{j}^{q-1}, \quad(f)_{j}=f\left(x_{j}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq j, q \leq n$. Since $\boldsymbol{V}$ is a square matrix, then a unique solution exists if $\boldsymbol{V}$ is invertible. $\boldsymbol{V}$ is called a Vandermonde matrix.
Indeed, $\boldsymbol{V}$ is invertible provided all the $x_{j}$ are distinct points on $\mathbb{R}$. The standard way to show this is to show that the Vandermonde matrix determinant is nonzero. We will prove the following fact:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{V}=\prod_{1 \leq j<q \leq n}\left(x_{q}-x_{j}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove the above via induction. For $n=2$, we have

$$
\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{V}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x_{1} \\
1 & x_{2}
\end{array}\right)=x_{2}-x_{1}=\prod_{1 \leq j<q \leq 2}\left(x_{q}-x_{j}\right),
$$

showing the initialization step. Now let $n \geq 2$. The inductive hypothesis assumes (2), and we must show this for $n+1$. In this step, we use $\boldsymbol{V}_{m}$ to denote the $m \times m$ Vandermonde matrix. The determinant in question has the form

$$
\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{V}_{n+1}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & x_{1} & x_{1}^{2} & \cdots & x_{1}^{n} \\
1 & x_{2} & x_{2}^{2} & \cdots & x_{2}^{n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & x_{n+1} & x_{n+1}^{2} & \cdots & x_{n+1}^{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We use the Laplace expansion to expand this determinant along the last row:

$$
\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{V}_{n+1}=(-1)^{n+1}\left[\prod_{j=0}^{n} x_{n+1}^{j} M_{j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right],
$$

where $M_{j}$ are the cofactors (signed determinants of the minors) formed from eliminating associated rows and columns from $\boldsymbol{V}_{n+1}$. As notationally suggested, all the cofactors are independent of $x_{n+1}$. Therefore, as a function of $x_{n+1}$, the determinant det $\boldsymbol{V}_{n+1}$ is a polynomial of degree $n$. The roots of this polynomial are $x_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n$, since if $x_{n+1}=$ $x_{j}$, then two rows of the Vandermonde matrix coincide and so the determinant is zero. Therefore, we have shown

$$
\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{V}_{n+1}=(-1)^{n+1} K\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{n+1}-x_{j}\right)
$$

The coefficient $K\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is the signed determinant of the upper-left block of $\boldsymbol{V}_{n+1}$; this upper-left block equals $\boldsymbol{V}_{n}$. Therefore:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{V}_{n+1} & =(-1)^{n+1} K\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{n+1}-x_{j}\right)=(-1)^{n+1}(-1)^{n+1} \operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{V}_{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{n+1}-x_{j}\right) \\
& =\left(\prod_{1 \leq j<q \leq n}\left(x_{q}-x_{j}\right)\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{n+1}-x_{j}\right)=\prod_{1 \leq j<q \leq n+1}\left(x_{q}-x_{j}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof. We have shown that the linear system in (1) always has a unique solution if the $x_{j}$ are unique. Therefore, minimal-degree polynomial interpolation is unisolvent on distinct nodes in one dimension.
A second, perhaps simpler way to show unisolvence of polynomial interpolation is to explictly construct a solution. Assume the $x_{j}$ are all distinct. Then by inspection one finds that the $n$ polynomials

$$
\ell_{j}(x):=\prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k \neq j}} \frac{x-x_{k}}{x_{j}-x_{k}}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n
$$

are all polynomials of degree $n-1$ (i.e., elements of $P_{n-1}$ ) and satisfy the condition

$$
\ell_{j}\left(x_{k}\right)=\delta_{j, k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n
$$

The polynomials $\ell_{j}$ are called the (cardinal) Lagrange interpolating polynomials. Due to the above property, we have that

$$
p(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} f\left(x_{j}\right) \ell_{j}(x)
$$

is a degree- $(n-1)$ polynomial satisfying $p\left(x_{k}\right)=f\left(x_{k}\right)$ for $k=1, \ldots, n$. It is likewise the only such polynomial: if $q$ is any other polynomial in $P_{n-1}$ interpolating $f$ at the $x_{k}$, then $p-q$ is a degree- $(n-1)$ polynomial with $n$ roots at $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Therefore $p-q=0$.
Of course, nowhere have we discussed how close an interpolating polynomial is to a polynomial to Weierstrass-like approximation. To make this comparison, we require some notation. Given a compact interval $[a, b], a \neq b$ on the real line, define

$$
C([a, b] ; \mathbb{R})=\{f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text { is continuous everywhere on }[a, b]\}
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{\infty}=\sup _{x \in[a, b]}|f(x)|
$$

This norm makes $C$ a Banach space. Given $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, all distinct nodes, we can define an interpolation operator from the procedure above:

$$
I_{n}: C \rightarrow P_{n-1}, \quad \quad I_{n} f(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} f\left(x_{j}\right) \ell_{j}(x)
$$

To understand the norm of this operator, assume $f$ satisfies $\|f\|_{\infty}=1$, so that

$$
\left|I_{n} f(x)\right|=\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} f\left(x_{j}\right) \ell_{j}(x)\right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|f\left(x_{j}\right) \ell_{j}(x)\right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\ell_{j}(x)\right|
$$

By choosing $f$ such that $f\left(x_{j}\right)=\operatorname{sgn} \ell_{j}(x)$, and $f(x)$ linearly interpolates in between points, all the above inequalities can become equalities, so that

$$
\sup _{\|f\|_{\infty}=1}\left|I_{n} f(x)\right|=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \ell_{j}(x)=: \lambda(x)
$$

The function $\lambda(x)$ is called the Lebesgue function (associated to the points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ ). The norm of the interpolation operator is now easily computed:

$$
\left\|I_{n}\right\|_{C \mapsto C}=\sup _{\|f\|_{\infty}=1}\left\|I_{n} f\right\|_{\infty}=\sup _{x \in[a, b]} \lambda(x)=: \Lambda
$$

The number $\Lambda$ is the called the Lebesgue constant.

All of this is meant to aid in our understanding of interpolation error. For any continuous function $f$, note that for any polynomial $q$ in $P_{n-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f(x)-I_{n} f(x)\right| & \leq|f(x)-q(x)|+\left|q(x)-I_{n} f(x)\right| \\
& =|f(x)-q(x)|+\left|I_{n}(q(x)-f(x))\right| \\
& \leq|f(x)-q(x)|+\lambda(x)\|f-q\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq[1+\lambda(x)]\|f-q\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq[1+\lambda(x)]\|f-q\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second line uses the fact that $I_{n}$ is a projection onto $P_{n-1}$ in $C$. Infimizing the above result over all $q \in P_{n-1}$, we have proven

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f(x)-I_{n} f(x)\right| & \leq[1+\lambda(x)] \inf _{q \in P_{n-1}}\|f-q\|_{\infty} \\
\left\|f(x)-I_{n} f(x)\right\|_{\infty} & \leq[1+\Lambda] \inf _{q \in P_{n-1}}\|f-q\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

The second equality above is called Lebesgue's Lemma, and shows that we can bound interpolation error relative to the best approximating polynomial. Lebesgue's Lemma separates error resulting from the choice of interpolation nodes $(\Lambda)$ from error resulting from the given function $f$.
Therefore, the Lebesgue constant gives us a means to understand errors introduced by interpolation. For example, it is known that if $x_{j}$ are equispaced on $[a, b]$, then $\Lambda$ grows exponentially with $n$, yielding a (very) poor approximation. However, consider the following points distributed on $[-1,1]$ :

$$
x_{j}=\cos \theta_{j}, \quad \theta_{j}=\frac{2 j-1}{2 n} \pi, \quad j=1, \ldots, n
$$

These points are called Chebyshev points. If one affinely maps these points to $[a, b]$, then it is known that $\Lambda$ grows only logarithmically with $n$. It also turns out that logarthmic growth in $n$ is the best (smallest) possible growth behavior for $\Lambda$.

