$\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin intermediates: from molecular dynamics to adhesion assembly

Dudu Tong, Nidhi Soley, Reza Kolasangiani, Martin A. Schwartz, Tamara C. Bidone

PII: S0006-3495(22)03942-X

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2022.12.032

Reference: BPJ 12312

To appear in: Biophysical Journal

Received Date: 31 January 2022

Accepted Date: 21 December 2022

Please cite this article as: Tong D, Soley N, Kolasangiani R, Schwartz MA, Bidone TC, α_{IIb}β₃ integrin intermediates: from molecular dynamics to adhesion assembly, *Biophysical Journal* (2023), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2022.12.032.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 Biophysical Society.



$1 \quad \alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin intermediates: from molecular dynamics to

2 adhesion assembly

- 3 Dudu Tong^{1,2, #}, Nidhi Soley^{1,2,#}, Reza Kolasangiani^{1,2}, Martin A. Schwartz^{3,4,5}, Tamara C.
- 4 Bidone^{1,2,6,7*}
- 5
- ⁶ ¹Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah
- ⁷ ²Scientific Computing and Imaging Institute, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah
- 8 ³Yale Cardiovascular Research Center, Department of Internal Medicine (Cardiology),
- 9 Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut
- ⁴Department of Cell Biology, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut
- ⁵Department of Biomedical Engineering, School of Engineering and Applied Science,
- 12 Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut
- ⁶Department of Biochemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah
- ¹⁴ ⁷Department of Molecular Pharmaceutics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah
- 15
- 16 *** Corresponding author**: Tamara C Bidone (tamarabidone@sci.utah.edu)
- 17 **#** These authors contributed equally to this work
- 18 **Date: 12/23/22**

20 Abstract

21 The platelet integrin allb3 undergoes long range conformational transitions associated 22 with its functional conversion from inactive (low affinity) to active (high affinity) states 23 during hemostasis. Although new conformations intermediate between the wellcharacterized bent and extended states have been identified, their molecular dynamic 24 25 properties and functions in the assembly of adhesions remain largely unexplored. In this study, we evaluated the properties of intermediate conformations of integrin $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ and 26 27 characterized their effects on the assembly of adhesions by combining all-atom 28 simulations, principal component analysis, and mesoscale modeling. Our results show 29 that in the low affinity, bent conformation, the integrin ectodomain tends to pivot around 30 the legs; in intermediate conformations the upper headpiece becomes partially extended, 31 away from the lower legs. In the fully open, active state, $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ is flexible and the motions 32 between upper headpiece and lower legs are accompanied by fluctuations of the 33 transmembrane helices. At the mesoscale, bent integrins form only unstable adhesions, 34 but intermediate or open conformations stabilize the adhesions. These studies reveal a 35 mechanism by which small variations in ligand binding affinity and enhancement of the 36 ligand-bound lifetime in the presence of actin retrograde flow stabilize allbB3 integrin 37 adhesions.

38 Significance Statement

39 Precise regulation of the affinity state of integrin $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ is critical for health; failure to 40 activate underlies bleeding disorders whereas excessive activation triggers blood clotting. 41 However, conformational transitions for $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ activation remain incompletely understood. 42 In this study, we show that integrin structures that are intermediate between the well-43 known bent and extended states control the stability of nascent adhesions through 44 changes in atomistic motions that underlie differences in ligand-binding affinity. These results are conceptually important because they identify new functional relationships 45 between integrin conformation and cell function. 46

48 Introduction

49 The integrin $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ is expressed at high density on the surface of blood platelets and is 50 indispensable for hemostasis via its binding to fibrin, fibrinogen, and other extracellular 51 matrix proteins (1). In unstimulated platelets, integrin $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ is held in a bent conformation with almost negligible affinity for these ligands; upon stimulation and activation of 52 53 platelets, affinity for these ligands increases up to the nanomolar range, coincident with 54 transitions to extended conformations. Mutations that prevent activation lead to a bleeding 55 disorder, Glanzmann's thrombasthenia (2). Conversely, increased activation state is 56 linked to thrombotic disease, for which $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ antagonists are in clinical use (3).

57 Integrins are transmembrane heterodimers formed by the noncovalent association of an 58 α and a β chain. Their inactive/bent and active/extended states have been described (4– 59 7); however, interconversion between these states necessarily involves intermediate 60 conformations that are less well studied. Such intermediates have been observed for 61 $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ and present different degrees of extension of the ectodomain (Figure 1a-d) (8–11). 62 The extracellular region of integrin $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ consists of a large ectodomain divided into 63 headpiece and lower legs (Figure 1e). The α_{IIb} headpiece contains an N-terminal β -64 propeller domain, followed by the thigh domain; the α_{IIb} leg contains two calf domains (Figure 1e). The β_3 headpiece consists of an N-terminal β -I domain followed by the hybrid 65 domain and the plexin-semaphorin-integrin (PSI) domain; the β_3 leg includes four 66 67 cysteine-rich epidermal growth factor (I-EGF) modules 1-4 and the β -T domain (Figure 1e). Each of these extracellular regions is followed by a single membrane spanning helix 68 69 ending with a C-terminal cytoplasmic tail that binds to cytoskeletal linkers and adapters.

70 In the bent conformation, the α and β chains are close together, with the headpiece bent 71 against the lower legs (Figure 1a and 1f); in the open conformation (Figure 1d), the 72 headpiece is separated from the lower legs and the α and β chains are apart (Figure 1d). 73 Different integrin intermediates present different degrees of headpiece extension, but the 74 lower α and β legs and transmembrane helices remain close together (Figure 1b-c) (8– 75 10). $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin intermediates present ligand binding affinities and ligand-bound 76 lifetimes that are in between the bent and extended conformations and these molecular 77 properties associate with different levels of cell adhesiveness (8, 11–13). Elucidating the 78 properties of integrin $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ intermediates and characterizing their contributions to the assembly of adhesions is important for understanding the regulation of hemostasis in 79 80 molecular detail and integrin regulation more generally.

81 Integrins behave like mechanical springs (14). When bound to a ligand, the integrin spring 82 constant is lower for bent than open conformations. However, in the unbound state 83 extended integrins show higher flexibility relative to the bent conformations (15), 84 supporting the idea that stabilization of the extended conformation occurs through ligand 85 binding (16, 17). How intermediate conformations of $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin impact the assembly 86 of adhesions remains largely unknown. To understand the role of the molecular properties 87 of integrin intermediates in the assembly of adhesions, here we combined molecular 88 simulations with mesoscale modeling.

We first performed equilibrium molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of membraneembedded $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrins in bent, extended and intermediate conformations. Then, we evaluated residue fluctuations and analyzed the principal components of residue motions. Last, we incorporated integrin intermediates into a mesoscale model of adhesion

93 assembly to analyze how they affect the stability of adhesions. Our results showed that 94 the structural deformations of the bent and intermediate conformations are directed toward elongation of the headpiece away from the legs, and destabilization of the 95 transmembrane helices; the open conformation presents high flexibility, with correlated 96 motions between headpiece and legs. Additionally, we found that bent integrins cannot 97 98 form stable adhesions, but intermediate or open conformations stabilize the adhesions. 99 These effects are due to small variations in ligand binding affinity and ligand-bound 100 lifetime in the presence of actin retrograde flow.

101

102 Methods

103 We started with cryo-EM $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrins in the bent, extended and intermediate 104 conformations. These structures were purified from human platelets and embedded in 105 lipid nanodiscs with talin and RGD bound for the cryo-EM study (18). We ran 500 ns of equilibrium MD simulations and calculated the residue and domain fluctuations, which 106 107 allowed us to assess local differences in dynamics between the different conformations. 108 Then, from an evaluation of the principal components of residue motions, we 109 characterized the emergent displacements and the extensional stiffnesses governing the 110 energy well of each conformation. From an analysis of extensional stiffnesses we 111 estimated the rates for ligand binding and incorporated these parameters into our 112 mesoscale model. Finally, we used a mesoscale model to study how $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ conformations 113 affect the average percentage of ligated integrins in nascent adhesions and their stability.

115 All-atom molecular dynamics simulations

116 We first reconstructed the missing residues in each of the four $\alpha_{IIB}\beta_3$ cryo-EM 117 conformations (see Supplementary Information and Figure 1g-i). The completed 118 structures of bent, intermediates (int1 and int2), and open $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin were embedded 119 within a DOPC+DOPS lipid bilayer with a molar ratio of 3:1, using the CHARMM-GUI 120 membrane builder (21) (an example is shown in Figure 1f). Each $\alpha_{IIIb}\beta_3$ integrin 121 conformation was oriented so that the transmembrane α helix was perpendicular to the lipid bilayer (22-24). The integrin/membrane systems were solvated using CHARMM-122 123 modified TIP3P water model (25) and 150 mM NaCl. The sizes of each box were: 15.07 124 x 15.07 x 24.34 nm for bent integrin; 12.85 x 12.85 x 26.31 nm for int1; 13.16 x 13.16 x 125 31.16 nm for int2; and 16.10 x 16.10 x 33.82 nm for the open integrin. The solvated 126 systems contained approximately: 523,000 total atoms for the bent conformation, 443,000 127 atoms for int1, 599,000 atoms for int2 and 836,000 atoms for the open conformation. The 128 number of molecules of the different species (lipids, water, ions, and protein) is reported in Supplementary Table 2. 129

The systems were energy minimized using the steepest descent algorithm, followed by two consecutive equilibration simulations in the constant NVT ensemble (constant number of atoms, *N*, volume, *V*, and temperature, T = 310 K) and four consecutive equilibration simulations in the constant NPT ensemble (constant number of atoms, *N*, pressure, *P*, and temperature, T = 310 K). These equilibration simulations gradually reduced the restraining potentials of the backbone atoms (parameters listed in Supplementary Table 3).

137 The production runs were then continued in the constant NPT ensemble at 310 K 138 and 1 atm using the velocity rescaling thermostat and the isotropic Parrinello-Rahman 139 pressure coupling (26). The length of the covalent bonds involving hydrogen atoms was 140 constrained using the LINCS algorithm (27), allowing a time step of 2 fs. The Lennard-141 Jones interactions were cut off at 1.2 nm with a switching function ranging from 1.0 to 1.2 142 nm, and the short-range electrostatic cutoff was set at 1.2 nm. The long-range 143 electrostatic interactions were computed using the particle-mesh Ewald (PME) method 144 with a 0.16 nm grid spacing (28).

145 VMD and PyMol were used for the visualization of the simulation trajectories (29,
146 30). Gromacs analysis tools, combined with home-made scripts, were employed for the
147 quantitative analysis of the trajectories.

148

149 Fluctuation analysis using PCA essential modes

150 PCA was performed on the $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin conformations using the Gromacs 151 analysis tool "covar". PCA analyzed the atomistic trajectories and decomposed the frame-152 by-frame conformational fluctuations of each protein residues (every 1 ns). For each 153 conformation, it first aligned each frame to the first one, and then constructed the $3N \times 10^{-10}$ 154 3N covariance matrix for the N alpha carbons, $C\alpha$, using the averaged structure. The 155 eigenvectors and eigenvalues were calculated to assess the principal components and 156 their associated variance. The principal components were then ordered so that the first 157 accounted for the largest variance and the following components accounted for lower and 158 lower variances.

From these modes, motions of the ectodomain we evaluated as fluctuations between the ligand binding site (pulling group) and transmembrane helices (reference group). From these modes, the extensional stiffness governing the energy well that maintained each integrin in its conformation was assessed. The distance fluctuations were calculated as:

(1)

164

165
$$D_F = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \lambda^k \left(\sum_{j=xyz} \frac{x_{1j} - x_{2j}}{s} \left(v_{1j}^k - v_{2j}^k \right) \right)^2$$

166

167 where λ^{k} and v^{k} were the eigenvalue and eigenvector of *k*-th principal mode, *s* was the 168 distance between the centers of mass (COM) of the pulling and reference groups, and *M* 169 was the number of essential modes used to evaluate the distance fluctuations. x_{j} was the 170 *x*, *y* or *z* component of the COM coordinate of each group. v_{j} was the *x*, *y* or *z* component 171 of the eigenvector of each group. The effective extensional stiffness maintaining each 172 integrin in its conformation was evaluated as:

$$173 k = \frac{k_B T}{D_F} (2)$$

where $k_BT = 4.11$ pN nm and T = 310 K (k_B is the Boltzmann constant and T is the temperature).

176

177 Brownian dynamics simulations of integrin adhesions assembly

To elucidate how the four integrin conformations affect the assembly of adhesions, we used our molecular clutch-based model based on Brownian Dynamics, BD (31, 32). The simulation domain was 3D and included two parallel surfaces of 1 μ m side, separated by a vertical distance *L* = 20 nm (schematics in Figure S1a). The top surface represented the cell membrane, where integrins underwent lateral diffusion. The bottom surface presented randomly distributed ligands providing anchor points for integrin motion.

184 Integrins existed in two states: inactive and active. Inactive integrins on the top 185 surface were diffusing particles obeying the overdamped Langevin equation, in the limit 186 of high friction:

187

188
$$\boldsymbol{F}_i - \zeta_i \frac{d\boldsymbol{r}_i}{dt} + \boldsymbol{F}_i^T = 0$$
(3)

189

where r_i was the position vector of the *i*-th integrin; ζ_i was the friction coefficient equal to 0.0142 pN s/µm, corresponding to the diffusion coefficient of integrin β_3 as $D = 0.29 \,\mu m^2/s$ (33), using Einstein relation $\varepsilon_i = \frac{k_B T}{D}$; dt was the simulation timestep of 10⁻⁴ s; and F_i and F_i^T were deterministic and stochastic forces, respectively.

For each inactive integrin within 21 nm from a free ligand, activation occurred at a rate *k*_{on}. The probability of ligand binding was:

196

197
$$P = 1 - e^{-k_{on}dt}$$

(4)

198

199 Once in the active and ligated state, each integrin *i* was subjected to a deterministic force F_i , corresponding to the sum of the forces from the retrograde actin flow, F_{flow} , and 200 201 substrate, F_{sub}: 202 (5) 203 $F_i = F_{flow} + F_{sub}$ 204 where $F_{flow} = \zeta_i v$, with v = 30 nm/s, and F_{sub} depended on substrate rigidity as: 205 206 $F_{sub} = \frac{YA}{L} \Delta L$ 207 (6) 208 where A was the cross-sectional area of the integrin/ligand bond (from an ideal bar of 209 210 radius ~5 nm, corresponding to half the separation between integrin transmembrane 211 helices when extended); Y was the substrate Young's modulus (Y = 12.6 kPa); L = 20212 nm was the equilibrium distance; and ΔL was the deviation from the equilibrium distance 213 (18). The stochastic force on each *i*-th integrin, F_i^T , represented the thermal force 214

215 generating Brownian motion. It satisfied the fluctuation-dissipation theorem (38), as:

217
$$\langle F_i^T(t)F_j^T(t)\rangle = \frac{2k_B T \zeta_i \delta_{ij}}{dt} \delta$$

218

where k_B is the Boltzmann constant, *T* is the temperature, δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta and δ_{ij} is a unit second-order tensor.

Unbinding of integrins was governed by catch bond kinetics (39, 40) in which the bond lifetime, τ , depended on force, $\tau = f(F_{sub})$ (Figure S1b). The unbinding rate, k_{off} , was calculated as:

224

225
$$k_{off} = A e^{-\alpha F_{sub}} + B e^{\beta F_{sub}}$$

226

Catch bond parameters were estimated from (8) and are listed in Supplementary
Table 4. For each ligated integrin, the unbinding probability was:

229

230
$$P = 1 - e^{-k_{off}dt}$$
 (10)

231

Our model used specific combinations of k_{off} and k_{on} to mimic each integrin conformation. k_{off} and k_{on} were provided as inputs and remained fixed to isolate integrin conformation from other factors, such as ligand binding or forces from substrate and actin.

(9)

235 For the bent conformation, the model used a minimum $k_{off} = 0.16 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (maximum lifetime τ_{MAX} = 6 s); for int1 a minimum k_{off} = 0.09 s⁻¹ (τ_{MAX} = 11 s); for int2 and open, k_{off} = 0.04 s⁻¹ 236 ¹ (τ_{MAX} = 22 s). k_{on} was estimated from the extensional stiffnesses extracted from 237 equation (2). Considering that the extensional stiffness of a protein is inversely related to 238 the heigh of the free energy barrier for conformational change (40), we used, for the bent 239 conformation, $k_{on} = 0.07 \text{ s}^{-1}$; for int1, $k_{on} = 0.7 \text{ s}^{-1}$; and for Int2 and the open conformations, 240 $k_{on} = 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$. For each integrin conformation, ligand binding affinity, *E*, was calculated from 241 k_{off} and k_{on} , as free energy for binding, using $\frac{k_{off}}{k_{on}} = e^{-E}$. 242

243 Integrins' displacements were computed using explicit Euler integration scheme244 (41):

245

246
$$r_i(t+dt) = r_i(t) + \frac{dr_i}{dt}dt = r_i(t) + \frac{F_i^T + F_i}{\zeta_i}dt$$
 (11)

247

248 Code and data availability

A description of the implementation algorithm is provided in the Supplementary

information. All MD trajectory files, the analysis code used for PCA, the code developed

for the mesoscale model, and all input files, scripts and output data are publicly

available at https://github.com/tamarabidone/multiscale-model-alphallbBeta3

254 **Results**

Atomistic simulations of different integrin conformations in lipid bilayers

256 Based on root mean square displacements of the alpha carbons, $C\alpha$, all 257 conformations reached equilibrium within 300 ns (Figure 2a). Equilibrium C α root mean square displacements (RMSDs) for the bent $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ conformation were between 0.5-1 nm 258 259 (Figure 2a). Ca RMSDs for the open $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ conformation leveled off between 2-2.5 nm 260 (Figure 2a). For Int1 and int2, Cα RMSDs reached equilibrium between 1.5-2 nm (Figure 261 2a), intermediate between bent and extended conformations. The radius of gyration was 262 4.5-5 nm for bent $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$, 6-7 nm for Int1 and Int2, and around 7 for open $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$, (Figure 263 2b). Analysis of RMSFs for each domain in α_{IIb} and β_3 (Figure 2c) showed that the bent 264 $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ conformation is more stable than the open one, with the two intermediate conformations in between (Figure 2c). RMSFs for individual domains within Int2 were 265 266 generally larger than for int1, except for the β -I and β -T domains. The greater fluctuations 267 of β -I and β -T in int1 relative to int2 suggest possible destabilization of the β -I/ β -T interactions in the initial phases of integrin extension, which are then stabilized as 268 269 extension proceeds.

270 Residues' root mean square fluctuations (RMSFs) were between 0.1 and 2 nm 271 (Figure S2a). Consistent with the RMSD analysis, RMSFs values were lowest for bent 272 $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ and highest for the open $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ conformation, with Int1 and Int2 in between (Figure 273 S2a). The RMSFs computed between 0-200 ns, 200-400 ns, and 300-500 ns ranged 274 between 0.5-3.5 nm (Figure S2b-d). The overall distribution of RMSFs for each 275 conformation decreased with time (Figure S2e), indicating stabilization of the structures.

276 The solvent accessible surface area (SASA) was approximately constant over time for 277 bent $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin, Int1 and Int2, with values centered around 950 nm², but dropped 278 below 900 nm² for open $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ (Figure S2f). This reduction in SASA was due to the 279 formation of noncovalent interactions between β -propeller and β -I domains in open $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$. 280 Because the ligand binding site was free, sites for molecular contacts were available, 281 which resulted in formation of new headpiece interactions. Taken together, results from equilibrium atomistic simulations of four $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin conformations revealed that the 282 bent integrin is more stable than the open integrin, with the intermediate conformations 283 284 presenting levels of residue and domain fluctuations generally between bent and open conformations. 285

In order to understand the effects of force and ligand binding on the motions of 286 287 residues of $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin, we ran constant force steered molecular dynamics simulations 288 on the bent conformation in the presence and absence of an RGD peptide. The overall 289 residue motions increased with force magnitude (Figure S3); however, the most flexible 290 regions in the structure did not change. By increasing force, the values of RMSD, radius 291 of gyration and RMSF proportionally increased (Figure S3a-c). However, the RMSF 292 peaks, indicating regions of high flexibility, were maintained at all forces (Figure S3c) and 293 comparable to the force-free conditions (Figure S2a-d). The SASA of bent $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ remained 294 constant under force, with values centered around 950 nm² (Figure S3d). Additionally, 295 like the force-free conditions, domain fluctuations under force generally increased from 296 the β -propeller domain and from the β -I domain to the α and β transmembrane helices 297 (Figure S3e). Using an RGD-bound headpiece, RMSD, RMSF, radius of gyration, SASA 298 and the RMSF did not significantly change relative to the ligand-free conditions (Figure

S4). In the absence of plasma membrane lipids, the average RMSD, RMSF and radius
of gyration of the integrin differed either not at all or only slightly from the membraneembedded cases (Figure S5).

302 Collectively, our results showed that force enhances but does not significantly alter 303 the residue fluctuations. Ligand binding or bound lipids also don't significantly affect these 304 motions. These results point towards the general notion that the integrin atomistic motions 305 are intrinsic properties of the structure and do not depend on force, lipids or ligand binding, 306 although force can increase these motions.

307

308 PCA of atomistic MD trajectories for different integrin conformations

309 We performed PCA to identify the dominant modes of motion in the four $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ 310 integrin conformations. PCA identifies the essential dynamics of a system from a 311 decomposition process that filters the motions into a few emergent structural 312 deformations (48, 49). Out of thousands of modes, only a few modes accounted for more 313 than half of the total fluctuations. The first few modes of all four $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ conformations 314 contained more than 40% of the total variance of C α fluctuations (Figure 3a). For the bent 315 $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin and int1, the first 3 modes contained more than 95% of the total variance 316 (Figure 3a). For Int2 and the open conformation, the first or the first 8 modes contained 317 more than 95% of the total variance, respectively (Figure 3a). Analysis of MD simulation 318 trajectories between 0-300 ns, 200-500 and 300-500 ns, showed that the first 3 modes 319 consistently contained more than 90% of the total variance for bent, int1 and int2 (Figure 320 S6). For the open conformation, more than 80% of the total variance was contained in the

321 first 7 modes (Figure S6). Analysis of the distances between the COM of pulling and 322 reference groups showed their convergence within the first mode for int2, within 3 modes 323 for the bent conformation and int1 and within 8 modes for the open conformation (Figure 324 3b). This finding confirms that the bent conformation is the most stable and the open one 325 the least stable. The effective force constant describing the extensional stiffness of integrin was about 2.5 x 10⁵ pN/µm for bent $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ and ~10x lower for the intermediate 326 327 and open conformations (Figure 3c). An evaluation of the extensional stiffness from the 328 distances between pulling and reference groups detected values comparable to PCA, 329 further indicating enhanced flexibility of the intermediate and open conformations with 330 respect to the bent conformation (Figure S7). The high stiffness of the bent conformation 331 indicates a high energy barrier for transitioning into the extended state, which is lower for 332 the intermediate conformations, consistent with our previous results (15). The distance 333 between the pulling and reference groups were around 8 nm for the bent integrin, 13 nm 334 for int1 and 16 nm for int2 (Figure 3d). By contrast, in the open $\alpha_{IIB}\beta_3$ integrin, this distance 335 initially increased from 15 to 20 nm, and then decreased and plateaued around 14 nm 336 (Figure 3d). Collectively, PCA showed that the first few principal modes captured most 337 of the Ca fluctuations and are thus representative of the emergent structural deformations 338 of integrin. The bent and intermediate conformations showed higher variance for the first 339 modes, indicating highly correlated motions, whereas the open conformation showed Ca 340 fluctuations that were spread across different modes, indicating more complex dynamics.

341

342 Identification of conformational clusters from projection of atomistic MD
 343 trajectories to PCA modes

Since relatively few PCA modes captured the emergent dynamics of the four $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ conformations, the MD simulation trajectories were projected onto the planes identified by the first and second principal modes. We used MDAnalysis, a Python package with an interactive object-oriented interface (50, 51). First, for each $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin conformation, we identified conformational clusters in the PCA plane (Figure 4). Then, we assessed the directions of the residue motions by superimposing the representative $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin conformations of each cluster and evaluating differences in residue positions (Figure 5).

351 For the bent $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin, we found two clusters (Figure 4a). Structures 352 representative of these clusters showed a bending motion of the entire ectodomain around its membrane-proximal region, along the first principal mode (Figure 5a and 353 354 Supplementary Movie 1). For int1, this analysis identified four clusters (Figure 4b). The 355 comparison between structures of cluster 1 and 2, along the second principal mode, 356 showed an opening of the headpiece relative to the lower legs (Figure 5b, left, and 357 Supplementary Movie 2). Comparison of clusters 1 and 3 in Int1, along the first principal 358 mode, showed a flattening of the angle between the headpiece and lower legs (Figure 359 5b, right, and Supplementary Movie 3). These motions were independent from one 360 another. From the Int2 simulations, we identified two clusters (Figure 4c and 361 Supplementary Movie 4), along the first principal mode. Analysis of residue positions 362 showed that the region containing the ligand binding site twists, combined with further 363 lengthening of the headpiece and changes in the orientation of the transmembrane β helix 364 (Figure 5c). These emergent motions were coordinated (Figure 4c). For open $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$, we found three clusters (Figure 4d). The ectodomain was highly flexible, with pivoting of the 365 366 headpiece relative to the lower legs for α_{IIb} and of the whole ectodomain relative to the

transmembrane helices for β_3 (Figure 5d and Supplementary Movie 5). Transmembrane helices also changed orientation in the direction to maintain their alignment relative to the main axis of the ectodomain (Figure 5d and Supplementary Movie 5).

370 In summary, analysis of $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin conformations along the plane identified by the first two principal modes showed that bent integrin tends to move the ectodomain 371 372 (Figure 5a). In Int1, the headpiece separates from the lower legs and the angle between 373 them flattens, following two independent modes of motion (Figure 5b). In Int2, the 374 headpiece further extends, the ligand binding interface rotates, and the transmembrane 375 β helix reorients, resulting in three correlated modes of motion (Figure 5c). In the open 376 conformation, both the ectodomain and transmembrane helices move along the first 377 principal mode, with the β chain presenting the highest flexibility (Figure 5d).

378 Notably, conformational changes from bent to extended conformations start from 379 the headpiece and are then transmitted to the transmembrane helices. In the initial 380 intermediate conformation, the flattening of the angle between headpiece and lower legs 381 and its extension in the vertical direction are independent motions, whereas in the second 382 intermediate, the twisting of the ligand binding site, the extension of the headpiece and 383 leg separation are all correlated motions. These correlations, in which elongation of the 384 ectodomain is combined with movement of its ligand binding site and reorientation of the 385 transmembrane β helix, are likely to underlie the coordinated binding of an external ligand 386 and accessory cytoplasmic proteins. Additionally, the motions of the transmembrane 387 helices increase as integrin extends, propagating from the transmembrane β helix to the 388 transmembrane α helix.

389

Brownian dynamics simulations of integrin adhesion assembly

391 We next assessed the effect of $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin conformation on the assembly of 392 nascent adhesions by incorporating different integrin conformations into a mesoscale 393 model (schematics in Figure S1a). Our results showed a monotonic increase of ligand-394 bound integrins, from about 30% for the bent conformation to more than 90% for the fully 395 open conformation, as their k_{on} and τ_{MAX} increased (Figure 6a). The enhanced ligand 396 binding of integrins was promoted by increases in ligand-bound lifetimes, which shifted 397 the transition from unstable to stable adhesions towards lower values of k_{on} (Figure 6a 398 and Figure S7). A threshold k_{on} , indicating at which adhesion stability was achieved, 399 decreased with ligand density and ligand-bound lifetimes (Figure 6b and Figure S8). 400 Increases in ligand-binding affinity, which depends on the relation between k_{on} and k_{off} led 401 to adhesion stability (Figure 6c). At all values of τ , an increase in free energy for ligand 402 binding from 1 to 2 $k_{\rm B}T$ corresponded to a shift from unstable to stable adhesions (Figure 403 6c). Collectively, these data indicate that integrin conformation, corresponding to a 404 specific combination of k_{on} and τ_{MAX} , governs adhesion stability depending on ligand 405 density.

We then ran simulations incorporating k_{on} and τ_{MAX} representing each $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin conformation. The distribution of average ligand-bound integrins shifted toward higher values as conformation shifted from bent to extended (Figure 6d). Additionally, using a constant density of 300 ligands/ μ m², the distribution of bound integrins narrowed from bent to extended states (Figure 6d), with less variability in the amount of ligand

binding at high affinities (high k_{on}) and high ligand-bound lifetimes (low k_{off} , or high τ_{MAX}). 411 412 Consistent with more ligand-bound integrins, the average minimum distance between 413 ligand-bound integrins was larger than 90 nm for bent integrin and less than 60 nm for 414 intermediate and open $\alpha_{llb}\beta_3$ conformations (Figure 6e), indicating that the probability of 415 adhesion stabilization was high for intermediate and open integrins and low for bent 416 integrins. This result is consistent with previous findings of weak adhesions from bent 417 integrins and robust adhesions from intermediate and open conformations (54). 418 Collectively, these results showed that closed/bent $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ fails to stabilize nascent 419 adhesions, whereas conversion to the intermediate states promotes adhesion stability 420 nearly (Int1) or as well (Int2) as the open conformation. This finding implies that the force from binding ligands can convert integrin conformation from partially open to fully open 421 422 conformations. Importantly, our model demonstrates that a small increases in integrin 423 affinity of less than 1 k_BT corresponds to a transition from unstable to stable adhesions. 424 Ligand density influences where the transition from unstable to stable adhesion occurs, 425 and thus at which integrin conformation stabilization can be achieved (Figure S8).

426

427 Discussion

Integrins transition from bent, low affinity to extended, high affinity conformations through intermediate conformations, which influence cell adhesive function (8, 10, 13, 16, 54, 55). However, the properties of these conformations and their roles in adhesion assembly remain largely unexplored. In this study, we ran MD simulations on four $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ conformations and extracted their essential dynamics through PCA. Our results indicated

that conformational fluctuations of $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin are enhanced in the intermediate and open conformations with respect to the bent conformation. Mesoscale modeling further allowed us to evaluate the role of different integrin conformations in cell adhesion assembly and identified a mechanism linking conformations of integrin to stabilization of nascent adhesions.

Analysis of the MD trajectories suggested that conformational activation of integrin progresses through stages of increasing domain fluctuations, except for the β -I and β -T domains, presenting enhanced fluctuations at the onset of headpiece extension (Figure 2c). These fluctuations may originate from the loss of molecular contacts and regulation of a "deadbolt" locking β -I in the inactive state of bent integrin (56).

443 PCA of $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrins indicated that most molecular fluctuations are contained along a limited number of principal modes (Figure 3). These modes correspond to 444 445 collective displacements in the directions of knee flattening, headpiece extension and leg separation (Figure 4-5). Interestingly, these modes varied significantly between the 446 447 different $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin conformations, with independent uncoupled motions of the first 448 intermediate and coupled deformations between headpiece and legs for the more 449 extended intermediate and the open conformations. We have previously shown that force 450 promotes integrin conformational activation, depending upon its molecular structure and 451 activating mutant (15). It is plausible that the different modes of deformation that are 452 associated with the different molecular structures underlie different structural responses 453 to force. It would be interesting to evaluate, in the future, how the mutants perturb these 454 modes of motion.

455 Identification of conformational clusters suggested that headpiece extension and 456 leg separation occur sequentially, as previously proposed (11). Uncoupled motions of the 457 headpiece are transmitted to the β helix first and then to the α helix. In the bent and first 458 intermediate conformations, only motions of the ectodomain were observed. In the first 459 intermediate, headpiece extension in the vertical direction proceeded independently from 460 flattening of the angles between headpiece and lower legs. In the later phases of 461 activation, corresponding to the second intermediate and open conformations, the 462 rearrangements of the headpiece, including exposure of its ligand binding site, separation 463 between the α and β chains, and legs opening were coupled.

464 The result that the essential dynamics of $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin occurs with motions of 465 selected parts of the protein demonstrates that these motions are intrinsic properties of 466 integrin and do not dependent on ligand binding, applied forces or interactions with 467 plasma membrane lipids (Figure S3-5). This finding supports previous observations that 468 conformational activation is an inherent property of the integrin itself and can proceed in the absence of signal transduction events (57). Our results also revealed that ligand or 469 470 force on the bent conformation enhances residue motions (Figure S3-S4) by providing 471 the energy to escape from the deep energy well; however, the most favored directions 472 are still encoded within the conformations and maintained in the absence of lipids (Figure 473 S5). Interestingly, the fully open conformation is unstable; external stimuli may therefore 474 have an opposite effect, stabilizing this state. This notion points towards a view of different 475 integrin conformations as states with different physical properties, with each one 476 possessing a distinct conformational signature.

477 Our equilibrium simulations, without a bound ligand and force, capture 478 physiologically relevant conditions, as $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrins in partially extended conformations 479 have been experimentally observed without a bound ligand (58, 59). In platelets that are 480 activated with agonists such as ADP, thrombin or arachidonic acid, integrin $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ 481 transitions from bent to extended states before ligand binding. The use of divalent cations (e.g. Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺), activation-associated mutations and activating antibodies (e.g. CBR 482 LFA1/2), and intracellular activators such as overexpressed talin head domain also 483 484 promote integrin conformational activation prior to ligand binding (24, 54, 57, 60–63).

Calculation of the extensional stiffness of the $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrins showed that the bent 485 conformation is the stiffest and the open conformation is the most flexible, with the 486 487 intermediates in between (Figure 3c and Figure S7). This result is opposite to the trend 488 captured using a ligand-coated biomembrane force probe where ligand binding was a prerequisite for the measurements (8, 14, 64). Additionally, our data are either one or two 489 490 orders of magnitude higher than reported values (8, 14, 64). In our simulations, the bent 491 conformation is the stiffest because it has a high energy barrier for transitioning into an 492 extended state; the intermediate conformations are more flexible, presenting a lower 493 energy barrier than the bent conformation. It follows that the open conformation is the 494 most flexible. In fact, when force is applied to bent intergin, we observed higher flexibility 495 and higher residue fluctuations relative to force-free conditions (Figure S3a-c). These 496 results depend, in part, on the force- and ligand- free conditions used in our simulations 497 versus experiments. Additionally, force probe experiments measured the net movement 498 of the whole protein, whereas our simulations considered local residue movements 499 (equations 1 and 2). Stiffness values from our simulations govern the energy well that

500 maintain integrin in a specific conformational state. Therefore, values from our simulations 501 may not be relevant to the deformation of the whole integrin structure in experiments.

502 Mesoscale modeling further identifies a mechanism linking integrin conformation 503 to the stabilization of adhesions. According to this model, bent integrins can bind ligands 504 with low affinity, but short bond lifetimes prevent adhesion stabilization. As the integrin 505 headpiece extends, the probability of ligand binding increases and once bound, the 506 increase in bond lifetime confers stability. Therefore, increases in integrin affinity across intermediate conformations stabilize nascent adhesions via an increase in the duration of 507 508 the integrin-ligand bond. These effects are also dependent on ligand density, with higher 509 density/closer lateral proximity stabilizing adhesions at lower values of affinity (Figure S8), 510 consistent with experimental studies (42–47). Our results additionally identify a minimum 511 affinity threshold for conferring stability, such that below this threshold, achievable ligand 512 densities are unable to confer stability (Figure S8). Live cell experiments in which integrin 513 conformation, and thus ligand binding affinity, is fixed at different stages of activation are 514 needed to evaluate the exact relationship between ligand density, affinity, on- and off-515 rates and adhesion stability.

516 Our BD simulations did not consider transitions across conformations over time. If 517 a bent or intermediate integrin shift its conformation to a more extended state upon ligand 518 binding, then adhesion stabilization would occur at lower values of initial affinity and 519 ligand-bond lifetimes. While our analysis focused on $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$, these principles are likely 520 applicable to other integrins.

521 This study leads to two main conclusions of high novelty. First, the combination of 522 simulation approaches demonstrates that, in the absence of ligand binding, headpiece 523 motions precede leg motions and fluctuations of the transmembrane β helix precede 524 those of the transmembrane α helix. The initial headpiece motions can be related to early 525 increases in ligand binding affinity upon destabilization of the bent conformation that are 526 not reflected by significant headpiece extension (11). The motions that are transmitted 527 from the headpiece to the β transmembrane helix are likely important for binding of 528 accessory cytoplasmic proteins, connection with the cytoskeleton and intracellular 529 signaling. Second, our results identify a new mechanism by which small changes in 530 affinity are transduced into adhesion stabilization through control of the molecular 531 integrin-ligand catch bond. The importance of the ligand-bond lifetime in adhesion 532 stabilization has previously been reported from studies of cell spreading (31, 32), but has 533 not been investigated in relation with integrin conformation.

These results raise a few questions that remain to be addressed in future work. How binding of intracellular adaptor proteins to cytoplasmic domains affect integrin conformational transitions is critical, as are the effects of the proteins on integrin clustering versus affinity (65–69). Additionally, since substrate rigidity plays an important role in integrin conformational activation and ligand-bound lifetime (31), it will be interesting to test the effect of substrate stiffness on adhesion assembly through conformational changes of integrin and affinity maturation.

542 Acknowledgments

543	This work was supported by the Huntsman Cancer Institute and Scientific Computing and
544	Imaging Institute CORI funding to T.C.B. Computer time was provided by the Scientific
545	Computing and Imaging Institute and the Center for High Performance Computing at the
546	University of Utah. MAS and TAB were supported by the National Science Foundation
547	Grant NSF BMMB 2044394.

548

549 **Declaration of interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

550

Author contributions: T.C.B designed the research; D.T., N.S. and R.K. performed the
research; D.T., N.S. and R.K. analyzed the data. M.A.S. provided critical input concerning
data interpretation. D.T., N.S., M.A.S. and T.C.B wrote the paper.

555 Figure legends

556

557	Figure 1. All atom representations of $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin conformations and insertion of
558	the missing residues in bent integrin. Ribbon representation of the atomistic structures
559	of the four $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin conformations, with the α chain in green and the β chain in cyan:
560	(a) bent; (b) int1; (c) int2; and (d) open conformations. (e) Schematic representation of
561	open integrin with the domains of the α and β chains indicated. (f) Side view of bent
562	integrin embedded in the lipid bilayer. (g) Ribbon representation of bent integrin with the
563	α chain in green and the β chain in cyan. The three missing regions are shown in red
564	loops. (h-l) Zoom-in view of the three reconstructed regions: (h) residue 840-873 in $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$
565	chain; (i) residue 75-78 in β chain; (j) residue 764-774 in α chain.

566

Figure 2. Analysis of atomistic MD simulation trajectories of four $\alpha_{IIIb}\beta_3$ integrin conformations. (a) The C α RMSD of the four $\alpha_{IIIb}\beta_3$ integrins relative to the corresponding input conformations over the course of 500 ns of atomistic MD simulations. (b) Radius of gyration of the four $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrins over the course of 500 ns of atomistic MD simulations. (c) RMSF, computed for each integrin domain relative to its average position during the atomistic MD simulations. Errorbars indicate the standard deviations from the mean.

574 Figure 3. PCA analysis and evaluation of atomistic MD fluctuations. (a) Cumulative 575 variance of $C\alpha$ fluctuations for the four integrin conformations as a function of the principal components from 1 to 10. Data were extracted from the Ca trajectories during 500 ns of 576 577 equilibrium MD simulations. (b) Fluctuations between the headpiece and lower legs of the 578 four $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin conformations for the first 10 modes, calculated between 200 and 400 579 ns of equilibrium MD simulations. The values were obtained from evaluation of the 580 fluctuations in distance between headpiece and lower legs from the principal modes. (c) 581 Extensional stiffness of the four $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrins from PCA of the equilibrium atomistic MD 582 between 200 ns to 400 ns. The stiffness corresponding to the number of components having a cumulative variance of more than 95% was chosen for each integrin 583 584 conformation. (d) Average distance of the headpiece from the legs, computed between 585 the pulling group (residues: E220, S121, S123, D119, D251 in the MIDAS domain, D217, N215, D158, P219 in the LIMBS domain and D126, D127, M335 in the ADMIDAS domain) 586 and the reference group (residues: W967-W988 in the α chain and V696-W715 in the β 587 588 chain).

589

Figure 4. Projection of MD simulation trajectories to the first two PCA modes. The scatter plots of simulation frame projections are colored by their simulation times, and the clusters are indicated with green, red, and magenta for clusters 1, 2 and 3, respectively. (a) Bent integrin presented two clusters, centered at (0, 0) and (-4600, 2400), respectively. Structures representative of these two clusters were extracted at time step 456.9 ns and 353.1 ns. (b) Int1 presented four clusters, centered at (450, 450), (300, -2000), (-5200, 850) and (-5500, -1500). Representative structures of each cluster were

597 extracted at time step 359.1ns, 471.7ns, 134.4ns and 485.9ns. (c) Int2 presented two 598 clusters, centered at (3000, 0) and (-4000, 0). Representative structures were extracted 599 at 295.1ns and 101.1ns. (d) Open $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ presented three clusters, centered at (6500, 400), 600 (-1000, 200) and (400, -2500), respectively. Representative structures were extracted at 601 28.2ns, 445.9ns and 45.8ns.

602

Figure 5. Representative structures from clusters identified on the plane of the first two principal modes. (a) Superposition of the representative atomistic structures from clusters 1 and 2 in the bent states. (b) Superposition of the representative structures from clusters 1, 2 and 3 in int1. (c) Superposition of the representative structures from clusters 1 and 2 in int2. (d) Superposition of the representative structures from clusters 1 and 2. The atomistic structures are colored in green, red and magenta for cluster 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

610

Figure 6. Integrin conformation determines the density of ligand-bound integrins 611 612 in nascent adhesions. (a) Average percentage of ligand-bound integrins increasing 613 ligand binding affinity and, simultaneously, maximum lifetime of the ligand-bound state, 614 τ_{MAX} , as well as the force corresponding to τ_{MAX} . Data were extracted between 200 s and 615 300 s of simulations, using 300 ligands/ μ m² and Y=12.6 kPa. (b) Threshold in k_{on} for the 616 transition between unstable and stable adhesion as a function of τ_{MAX} and ligand density. 617 Data were extracted between 200 s and 300 s of simulations, using 100, 200 and 500 ligands/µm² and Y=12.6 kPa. (c) Average smallest distance between ligand-bound 618 619 integrins as a function of ligand binding affinity, E. Ligand binding affinity was calculated

 $\frac{k_{off}}{k_{on}} = e^{-E}$, in units of k_BT , where k_B is Boltzmann's constant, and T is the from 620 temperature. Data were extracted between 100 s and 300 s of simulations, using 300 621 ligands/µm² and Y=12.6 kPa. (d) Boxplots of ligand-bound integrins for the different 622 integrin conformations: bent was represented by $k_{on} = 0.05s^{-1}$, $\tau_{MAX} = 6$ s, and force 623 624 corresponding to τ_{MAX} around 18 pN; int1 was modeled using $k_{on} = 0.7 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $\tau_{MAX} = 11 \text{ s}$ and τ_{MAX} force of 30 pN; open and int2 were modeled using $k_{on} = 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $\tau_{MAX} = 22 \text{ s}$, 625 with force corresponding to τ_{MAX} around 40 pN. Data were extracted between 200 s and 626 300 s of simulations, using 300 ligands/ μm^2 and Y=12.6 kPa. (e) Average smallest 627 628 distance between ligand-bound integrins. Errorbars represent standard deviation from the 629 mean. Data were extracted between 260 s and 300 s of simulations, using 300 630 ligands/ μm^2 and Y = 12.6 kPa.

631

632 **References**

- Ma, Y.-Q., J. Qin, and E.F. Plow. 2007. Platelet integrin alpha(IIb)beta(3): activation
 mechanisms. *J Thromb Haemost*. 5:1345–52.
- Botero, J.P., K. Lee, B.R. Branchford, P.F. Bray, K. Freson, M.P. Lambert, M. Luo, S.
 Mohan, J.E. Ross, W. Bergmeier, J. di Paola, and ClinGen Platelet Disorder Variant
 Curation Expert Panel. 2020. Glanzmann thrombasthenia: genetic basis and clinical
 correlates. *Haematologica*. 105:888–894.
- 639 3. Tello-Montoliu, A., E. Jover, J. Rivera, M. Valdés, D.J. Angiolillo, and F. Marín. 2012.
 640 New perspectives in antiplatelet therapy. *Curr Med Chem.* 19:406–27.
- 4. Xiong, J.P., T. Stehle, B. Diefenbach, R. Zhang, R. Dunker, D.L. Scott, A. Joachimiak,
 S.L. Goodman, and M.A. Arnaout. 2001. Crystal structure of the extracellular segment of
 integrin alpha Vbeta3. *Science*. 294:339–45.
- 5. Xiong, J.-P., T. Stehle, R. Zhang, A. Joachimiak, M. Frech, S.L. Goodman, and M.A.
 Arnaout. 2002. Crystal structure of the extracellular segment of integrin alpha Vbeta3 in
 complex with an Arg-Gly-Asp ligand. *Science*. 296:151–5.
- 647 6. Zhu, J., J. Zhu, and T.A. Springer. 2013. Complete integrin headpiece opening in eight steps. *J Cell Biol*. 201:1053–68.
- 649 7. Zhou, D., A.M.M. Thinn, Y. Zhao, Z. Wang, and J. Zhu. 2018. Structure of an extended
 650 β3 integrin. *Blood*. 132:962–972.
- 651 8. Chen, Y., L.A. Ju, F. Zhou, J. Liao, L. Xue, Q.P. Su, D. Jin, Y. Yuan, H. Lu, S.P. Jackson, and C. Zhu. 2019. An integrin α(IIb)β(3) intermediate affinity state mediates
 653 biomechanical platelet aggregation. *Nat Mater*. 18:760–769.
- 654 9. Kamata, T., M. Handa, Y. Sato, Y. Ikeda, and S. Aiso. 2005. Membrane-proximal
 655 {alpha}/{beta} stalk interactions differentially regulate integrin activation. *J Biol Chem.*656 280:24775–24783.
- Chen, X., C. Xie, N. Nishida, Z. Li, T. Walz, and T.A. Springer. 2010. Requirement of
 open headpiece conformation for activation of leukocyte integrin alphaXbeta2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 107:14727–14732.
- Coutinho, A., C. García, J. González-Rodríguez, and M.P. Lillo. 2007. Conformational
 changes in human integrin alphaIIbbeta3 after platelet activation, monitored by FRET. *Biophys Chem.* 130:76–87.
- Kiao, T., J. Takagi, B.S. Coller, J.-H. Wang, and T.A. Springer. 2004. Structural basis for allostery in integrins and binding to fibrinogen-mimetic therapeutics. *Nature*. 432:59–67.
- Luo, B.-H., C. v Carman, and T.A. Springer. 2007. Structural basis of integrin regulation
 and signaling. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 25:619–47.
- 667 14. Chen, W., J. Lou, E.A. Evans, and C. Zhu. 2012. Observing force-regulated
 668 conformational changes and ligand dissociation from a single integrin on cells. *J Cell Biol*.
 669 199:497–512.
- 670 15. Driscoll, T.P., T.C. Bidone, S.J. Ahn, A. Yu, A. Groisman, G.A. Voth, and M.A.
- 671 Schwartz. 2021. Integrin-based mechanosensing through conformational deformation.
 672 *Biophys J.* 120:4349–4359.

- 673 16. Cormier, A., M.G. Campbell, S. Ito, S. Wu, J. Lou, J. Marks, J.L. Baron, S.L. Nishimura,
 674 and Y. Cheng. 2018. Cryo-EM structure of the αvβ8 integrin reveals a mechanism for
 675 stabilizing integrin extension. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 25:698–704.
- Takagi, J., B.M. Petre, T. Walz, and T.A. Springer. 2002. Global conformational
 rearrangements in integrin extracellular domains in outside-in and inside-out signaling. *Cell*. 110:599–11.
- Ku, X.-P., E. Kim, M. Swift, J.W. Smith, N. Volkmann, and D. Hanein. 2016. ThreeDimensional Structures of Full-Length, Membrane-Embedded Human α(IIb)β(3) Integrin
 Complexes. *Biophys J*. 110:798–809.
- Fiser, A., R.K. Do, and A. Sali. 2000. Modeling of loops in protein structures. *Protein Sci.*9:1753–1773.
- Abraham, M.J., T. Murtola, R. Schulz, S. Páll, J.C. Smith, B. Hess, and E. Lindah. 2015.
 Gromacs: High performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to supercomputers. *SoftwareX*. 1–2:19–25.
- Jo, S., J.B. Lim, J.B. Klauda, and W. Im. 2009. CHARMM-GUI Membrane Builder for
 Mixed Bilayers and Its Application to Yeast Membranes. *Biophys J*. 97:50–58.
- Lau, T.-L., C. Kim, M.H. Ginsberg, and T.S. Ulmer. 2009. The structure of the integrin alphaIIbbeta3 transmembrane complex explains integrin transmembrane signalling. *EMBO J.* 28:1351–61.
- Lau, T.-L., V. Dua, and T.S. Ulmer. 2008. Structure of the integrin alphaIIb
 transmembrane segment. *J Biol Chem.* 283:16162–8.
- 694 24. Shattil, S.J., C. Kim, and M.H. Ginsberg. 2010. The final steps of integrin activation: the
 695 end game. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 11:288–300.
- Jorgensen, W.L., J. Chandrasekhar, J.D. Madura, R.W. Impey, and M.L. Klein. 1983.
 Comparison of simple potential functions for simulating liquid water. *J Chem Phys.*
- 698 26. Parrinello, M., and A. Rahman. 1981. Polymorphic transitions in single crystals: A new molecular dynamics method. *J Appl Phys*.
- Hess, B., H. Bekker, H.J.C. Berendsen, and J.G.E.M. Fraaije. 1997. LINCS: A linear constraint solver for molecular simulations. *J Comput Chem.* 18:1463–1472.
- Darden, T., D. York, and L. Pedersen. 1993. Particle mesh Ewald: An N·log(N) method
 for Ewald sums in large systems. *J Chem Phys*.
- Humphrey, W., A. Dalke, and K. Schulten. 1996. VMD: Visual molecular dynamics. J
 Mol Graph. 14:33–38.
- 706 30. Delano, W.L. 2002. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System. .
- 31. Oakes, P.W., T.C. Bidone, Y. Beckham, A.V. Skeeters, G.R. Ramirez-San Juan, S.P.
 Winter, G.A. Voth, and M.L. Gardel. 2018. Lamellipodium is a myosin-independent
 mechanosensor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 115.
- Bidone, T.C., A. v. Skeeters, P.W. Oakes, and G.A. Voth. 2019. Multiscale model of
 integrin adhesion assembly. *PLoS Comput Biol.*
- 712 33. Rossier, O., V. Octeau, J.-B. Sibarita, C. Leduc, B. Tessier, D. Nair, V. Gatterdam, O.
 713 Destaing, C. Albigès-Rizo, R. Tampé, L. Cognet, D. Choquet, B. Lounis, and G.
- 714Giannone. 2012. Integrins β1 and β3 exhibit distinct dynamic nanoscale organizations715inside focal adhesions. *Nat Cell Biol.* 14:1057–1067.
- 716 34. Califano, J.P., and C.A. Reinhart-King. 2010. Substrate Stiffness and Cell Area Predict
 717 Cellular Traction Stresses in Single Cells and Cells in Contact. *Cell Mol Bioeng*. 3:68–75.

718	35.	Han, S.J., K.S. Bielawski, L.H. Ting, M.L. Rodriguez, and N.J. Sniadecki. 2012.
719		Decoupling substrate stiffness, spread area, and micropost density: a close spatial
720		relationship between traction forces and focal adhesions. <i>Biophys J.</i> 103:640–648.
721	36.	Tee, SY., J. Fu, C.S. Chen, and P.A. Janmey. 2011. Cell shape and substrate rigidity both
722		regulate cell stiffness. <i>Biophys J</i> . 100:L25-7.
723	37.	Engler, A., L. Bacakova, C. Newman, A. Hategan, M. Griffin, and D. Discher, 2004.
724	0,1	Substrate Compliance versus Ligand Density in Cell on Gel Responses <i>Biophys I</i>
725	38	Kubo R 1966 The fluctuation-dissipation theorem <i>Reports on Progress in Physics</i>
726	50.	29.255-284
720	30	Bell G L 1978 Models for the specific adhesion of cells to cells. Science (1979)
728	40	Chakraborty S D Chaudhuri D Chaudhuri V Singh S Baneriee D Chowdhury and
720	4 0.	S. Haldar, 2022. Connecting conformational stiffness of the protein with energy landscape
720		by a single experiment. Nanoscale, 14:7650, 7672
730	41	2016 Differential and Difference Equations Numerical Methods for Ordinam
731	41.	Differential Exercises 1.52
752	10	Differential Equations. 1–55.
/33	42.	Deeg, J.A., I. Louban, D. Aydin, C. Seinuber-Unkel, H. Kessler, and J.P. Spatz. 2011.
734	10	Impact of local versus global ligand density on cellular adhesion. <i>Nano Lett.</i> 11:1469–76.
735	43.	Cavalcanti-Adam, E.A., T. Volberg, A. Micoulet, H. Kessler, B. Geiger, and J.P. Spatz.
736		2007. Cell Spreading and Focal Adhesion Dynamics Are Regulated by Spacing of Integrin
737		Ligands. <i>Biophys J</i> . 92:2964–2974.
738	44.	Moore, S.W., P. Roca-Cusachs, and M.P. Sheetz. 2010. Stretchy proteins on stretchy
739		substrates: the important elements of integrin-mediated rigidity sensing. Dev Cell. 19:194–
740		206.
741	45.	Arnold, M., E.A. Cavalcanti-Adam, R. Glass, J. Blümmel, W. Eck, M. Kantlehner, H.
742		Kessler, and J.P. Spatz. 2004. Activation of integrin function by nanopatterned adhesive
743		interfaces. Chemphyschem. 5:383–8.
744	46.	Koo, L.Y., D.J. Irvine, A.M. Mayes, D.A. Lauffenburger, and L.G. Griffith. 2002. Co-
745		regulation of cell adhesion by nanoscale RGD organization and mechanical stimulus. J
746		<i>Cell Sci.</i> 115:1423–33.
747	47.	Schvartzman, M., M. Palma, J. Sable, J. Abramson, X. Hu, M.P. Sheetz, and S.J. Wind.
748		2011 Nanolithographic control of the spatial organization of cellular adhesion receptors at
749		2011. I unonano Siupine control of the sputter of Sumbulor of contrain unicesion receptors at
		the single-molecule level. <i>Nano Lett.</i> 11:1306–12.
750	48.	the single-molecule level. <i>Nano Lett.</i> 11:1306–12. David, C.C., and D.J. Jacobs. 2014. Principal component analysis: a method for
750 751	48.	the single-molecule level. <i>Nano Lett.</i> 11:1306–12. David, C.C., and D.J. Jacobs. 2014. Principal component analysis: a method for determining the essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Methods Mol Biol.</i> 1084:193–226.
750 751 752	48. 49.	the single-molecule level. <i>Nano Lett.</i> 11:1306–12. David, C.C., and D.J. Jacobs. 2014. Principal component analysis: a method for determining the essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Methods Mol Biol.</i> 1084:193–226. Amadei, A., A.B. Linssen, and H.J. Berendsen. 1993. Essential dynamics of proteins.
750 751 752 753	48. 49.	 b) The transmission of the spatial organization of certain dates on receptors at the single-molecule level. <i>Nano Lett.</i> 11:1306–12. David, C.C., and D.J. Jacobs. 2014. Principal component analysis: a method for determining the essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Methods Mol Biol.</i> 1084:193–226. Amadei, A., A.B. Linssen, and H.J. Berendsen. 1993. Essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Proteins.</i> 17:412–25.
750 751 752 753 754	48. 49. 50.	 b) The transmission of the optical organization of certain database for the experimental database for the single-molecule level. <i>Nano Lett.</i> 11:1306–12. David, C.C., and D.J. Jacobs. 2014. Principal component analysis: a method for determining the essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Methods Mol Biol.</i> 1084:193–226. Amadei, A., A.B. Linssen, and H.J. Berendsen. 1993. Essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Proteins.</i> 17:412–25. Michaud-Agrawal, N., E.J. Denning, T.B. Woolf, and O. Beckstein. 2011. MDAnalysis: a
750 751 752 753 754 755	48. 49. 50.	 b) The transmission of the optimal organization of central database for receptors at the single-molecule level. <i>Nano Lett.</i> 11:1306–12. David, C.C., and D.J. Jacobs. 2014. Principal component analysis: a method for determining the essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Methods Mol Biol.</i> 1084:193–226. Amadei, A., A.B. Linssen, and H.J. Berendsen. 1993. Essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Proteins.</i> 17:412–25. Michaud-Agrawal, N., E.J. Denning, T.B. Woolf, and O. Beckstein. 2011. MDAnalysis: a toolkit for the analysis of molecular dynamics simulations. <i>J Comput Chem.</i> 32:2319–27.
750 751 752 753 754 755 756	48. 49. 50. 51.	 b) Tri Halohandsgraphic control of the optimal organization of central database receptors at the single-molecule level. <i>Nano Lett.</i> 11:1306–12. David, C.C., and D.J. Jacobs. 2014. Principal component analysis: a method for determining the essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Methods Mol Biol.</i> 1084:193–226. Amadei, A., A.B. Linssen, and H.J. Berendsen. 1993. Essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Proteins.</i> 17:412–25. Michaud-Agrawal, N., E.J. Denning, T.B. Woolf, and O. Beckstein. 2011. MDAnalysis: a toolkit for the analysis of molecular dynamics simulations. <i>J Comput Chem.</i> 32:2319–27. Gowers, R., M. Linke, J. Barnoud, T. Reddy, M. Melo, S. Seyler, J. Domański, D. Dotson,
750 751 752 753 754 755 756 756 757	48. 49. 50. 51.	 b) Tri Halohanographic control of the optimal organization of central database receptors at the single-molecule level. <i>Nano Lett.</i> 11:1306–12. David, C.C., and D.J. Jacobs. 2014. Principal component analysis: a method for determining the essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Methods Mol Biol.</i> 1084:193–226. Amadei, A., A.B. Linssen, and H.J. Berendsen. 1993. Essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Proteins.</i> 17:412–25. Michaud-Agrawal, N., E.J. Denning, T.B. Woolf, and O. Beckstein. 2011. MDAnalysis: a toolkit for the analysis of molecular dynamics simulations. <i>J Comput Chem.</i> 32:2319–27. Gowers, R., M. Linke, J. Barnoud, T. Reddy, M. Melo, S. Seyler, J. Domański, D. Dotson, S. Buchoux, I. Kenney, and O. Beckstein. 2016. MDAnalysis: A Python Package for the
750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758	48. 49. 50. 51.	 b) Tri Halohandsgraphic control of the optical organization of central databasis receptors at the single-molecule level. <i>Nano Lett.</i> 11:1306–12. David, C.C., and D.J. Jacobs. 2014. Principal component analysis: a method for determining the essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Methods Mol Biol.</i> 1084:193–226. Amadei, A., A.B. Linssen, and H.J. Berendsen. 1993. Essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Proteins.</i> 17:412–25. Michaud-Agrawal, N., E.J. Denning, T.B. Woolf, and O. Beckstein. 2011. MDAnalysis: a toolkit for the analysis of molecular dynamics simulations. <i>J Comput Chem.</i> 32:2319–27. Gowers, R., M. Linke, J. Barnoud, T. Reddy, M. Melo, S. Seyler, J. Domański, D. Dotson, S. Buchoux, I. Kenney, and O. Beckstein. 2016. MDAnalysis: A Python Package for the Rapid Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Simulations. , pp. 98–105.
750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759	 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 	 b) Tri Haiohand graphic control of the optimal organization of central databasis receptors at the single-molecule level. <i>Nano Lett.</i> 11:1306–12. David, C.C., and D.J. Jacobs. 2014. Principal component analysis: a method for determining the essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Methods Mol Biol.</i> 1084:193–226. Amadei, A., A.B. Linssen, and H.J. Berendsen. 1993. Essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Proteins.</i> 17:412–25. Michaud-Agrawal, N., E.J. Denning, T.B. Woolf, and O. Beckstein. 2011. MDAnalysis: a toolkit for the analysis of molecular dynamics simulations. <i>J Comput Chem.</i> 32:2319–27. Gowers, R., M. Linke, J. Barnoud, T. Reddy, M. Melo, S. Seyler, J. Domański, D. Dotson, S. Buchoux, I. Kenney, and O. Beckstein. 2016. MDAnalysis: A Python Package for the Rapid Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Simulations pp. 98–105. Shimaoka, M., J. Takagi, and T.A. Springer. 2002. Conformational regulation of integrin
750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760	 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 	 b) Tri Halohanographic control of the optimal organization of central databasis receptors at the single-molecule level. <i>Nano Lett.</i> 11:1306–12. David, C.C., and D.J. Jacobs. 2014. Principal component analysis: a method for determining the essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Methods Mol Biol.</i> 1084:193–226. Amadei, A., A.B. Linssen, and H.J. Berendsen. 1993. Essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Proteins.</i> 17:412–25. Michaud-Agrawal, N., E.J. Denning, T.B. Woolf, and O. Beckstein. 2011. MDAnalysis: a toolkit for the analysis of molecular dynamics simulations. <i>J Comput Chem.</i> 32:2319–27. Gowers, R., M. Linke, J. Barnoud, T. Reddy, M. Melo, S. Seyler, J. Domański, D. Dotson, S. Buchoux, I. Kenney, and O. Beckstein. 2016. MDAnalysis: A Python Package for the Rapid Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Simulations pp. 98–105. Shimaoka, M., J. Takagi, and T.A. Springer. 2002. Conformational regulation of integrin structure and function. <i>Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct.</i> 31:485–516.
750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761	 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 	 b) In National Symptoc Control of the Spatial of gammation of Central and Storn (Coppendent of the single-molecule level. <i>Nano Lett.</i> 11:1306–12. David, C.C., and D.J. Jacobs. 2014. Principal component analysis: a method for determining the essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Methods Mol Biol.</i> 1084:193–226. Amadei, A., A.B. Linssen, and H.J. Berendsen. 1993. Essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Proteins.</i> 17:412–25. Michaud-Agrawal, N., E.J. Denning, T.B. Woolf, and O. Beckstein. 2011. MDAnalysis: a toolkit for the analysis of molecular dynamics simulations. <i>J Comput Chem.</i> 32:2319–27. Gowers, R., M. Linke, J. Barnoud, T. Reddy, M. Melo, S. Seyler, J. Domański, D. Dotson, S. Buchoux, I. Kenney, and O. Beckstein. 2016. MDAnalysis: A Python Package for the Rapid Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Simulations pp. 98–105. Shimaoka, M., J. Takagi, and T.A. Springer. 2002. Conformational regulation of integrin structure and function. <i>Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct.</i> 31:485–516. Selhuber-Unkel, C., M. López-García, H. Kessler, and J.P. Spatz. 2008. Cooperativity in
750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 762	 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 	 b) In Hability and Control of the spatial organization of central database receptors at the single-molecule level. <i>Nano Lett.</i> 11:1306–12. David, C.C., and D.J. Jacobs. 2014. Principal component analysis: a method for determining the essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Methods Mol Biol.</i> 1084:193–226. Amadei, A., A.B. Linssen, and H.J. Berendsen. 1993. Essential dynamics of proteins. <i>Proteins.</i> 17:412–25. Michaud-Agrawal, N., E.J. Denning, T.B. Woolf, and O. Beckstein. 2011. MDAnalysis: a toolkit for the analysis of molecular dynamics simulations. <i>J Comput Chem.</i> 32:2319–27. Gowers, R., M. Linke, J. Barnoud, T. Reddy, M. Melo, S. Seyler, J. Domański, D. Dotson, S. Buchoux, I. Kenney, and O. Beckstein. 2016. MDAnalysis: A Python Package for the Rapid Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Simulations pp. 98–105. Shimaoka, M., J. Takagi, and T.A. Springer. 2002. Conformational regulation of integrin structure and function. <i>Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct.</i> 31:485–516. Selhuber-Unkel, C., M. López-García, H. Kessler, and J.P. Spatz. 2008. Cooperativity in adhesion cluster formation during initial cell adhesion. <i>Biophys J.</i> 95:5424–5431

763 54. Salas, A., M. Shimaoka, U. Phan, M. Kim, and T.A. Springer. 2006. Transition from 764 rolling to firm adhesion can be mimicked by extension of integrin $\alpha L\beta 2$ in an intermediate 765 affinity state. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 281:10876–10882. 766 55. Springer, T.A., and M.L. Dustin. 2012. Integrin inside-out signaling and the 767 immunological synapse. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 24:107–115. 768 Xiong, J.-P., T. Stehle, S.L. Goodman, and M.A. Arnaout. 2003. New insights into the 56. 769 structural basis of integrin activation. Blood. 102:1155-9. 770 O'Toole, T.E., J.C. Loftus, X.P. Du, A.A. Glass, Z.M. Ruggeri, S.J. Shattil, E.F. Plow, 57. 771 and M.H. Ginsberg. 1990. Affinity modulation of the alpha IIb beta 3 integrin (platelet 772 GPIIb-IIIa) is an intrinsic property of the receptor. Cell Regul. 1:883–93. 773 58. Humphries, M.J. 2004. Monoclonal antibodies as probes of integrin priming and 774 activation. Biochem Soc Trans. 32:407-411. 775 Humphries, M.J., P.A. McEwan, S.J. Barton, P.A. Buckley, J. Bella, and A.P. Mould. 59. 776 2003. Integrin structure: heady advances in ligand binding, but activation still makes the 777 knees wobble. Trends Biochem Sci. 28:313–20. 778 Kim, C., F. Ye, and M.H. Ginsberg. 2011. Regulation of Integrin Activation. Annu Rev 60. 779 Cell Dev Biol. 780 Shimaoka, M., and T.A. Springer. 2003. Therapeutic antagonists and conformational 61. 781 regulation of integrin function. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2:703-16. 782 62. Huang, J., X. Li, X. Shi, M. Zhu, J. Wang, S. Huang, X. Huang, H. Wang, L. Li, H. Deng, 783 Y. Zhou, J. Mao, Z. Long, Z. Ma, W. Ye, J. Pan, X. Xi, and J. Jin. 2019. Platelet integrin 784 aIIb₆₃: signal transduction, regulation, and its therapeutic targeting. J Hematol Oncol. 785 12:26. Nishida, N., C. Xie, M. Shimaoka, Y. Cheng, T. Walz, and T.A. Springer. 2006. 786 63. 787 Activation of leukocyte beta2 integrins by conversion from bent to extended 788 conformations. Immunity. 25:583-94. 789 64. Chen, Y., H. Lee, H. Tong, M. Schwartz, and C. Zhu. 2017. Force regulated 790 conformational change of integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$. *Matrix Biology*. 791 Bunch, T.A. 2010. Integrin alphaIIbbeta3 activation in Chinese hamster ovary cells and 65. 792 platelets increases clustering rather than affinity. J Biol Chem. 285:1841–9. 793 Helsten, T.L., T.A. Bunch, H. Kato, J. Yamanouchi, S.H. Choi, A.L. Jannuzi, C.C. Féral, 66. 794 M.H. Ginsberg, D.L. Brower, and S.J. Shattil. 2008. Differences in regulation of 795 Drosophila and vertebrate integrin affinity by talin. Mol Biol Cell. 19:3589–98. 796 67. Abrams, C., Y.J. Deng, B. Steiner, T. O'Toole, and S.J. Shattil. 1994. Determinants of 797 specificity of a baculovirus-expressed antibody Fab fragment that binds selectively to the 798 activated form of integrin alpha IIb beta 3. J Biol Chem. 269:18781-8. 799 68. Buensuceso, C., M. de Virgilio, and S.J. Shattil. 2003. Detection of integrin alpha IIbbeta 800 3 clustering in living cells. J Biol Chem. 278:15217-24. 801 69. Han, J., C.J. Lim, N. Watanabe, A. Soriani, B. Ratnikov, D.A. Calderwood, W. Puzon-802 McLaughlin, E.M. Lafuente, V.A. Boussiotis, S.J. Shattil, and M.H. Ginsberg. 2006. 803 Reconstructing and Deconstructing Agonist-Induced Activation of Integrin aIIbβ3. 804 Current Biology. 16:1796–1806. 805











